ISSN 1923-0176 [Print] ISSN 1923-0184 [Online] www.cscanada.net www.cscanada.org

# Mobile Phone Usage and Awareness of Health Related Issues Among the Male Science Students

# Aanchal Vasudev<sup>[a]</sup>; Manmeet Kaur<sup>[a]</sup>; Harsh Kumar<sup>[b],\*</sup>; Rini Chaturvedi<sup>[a]</sup>

[a] Postgraduate Student, Department of Biotechnology, Lovely Professional University, Phagwara, India.

\*Corresponding author

Received 19 June 2012; accepted 17 August 2012

#### Abstract

The objective of this study was to understand the usage pattern of mobile phones nowadays by the male science students and to check whether they are aware of the fact that the various risk factors associated to their health are these cellular phones only.

Cell phones are considered to be one of the most speedily emerging technologies in the human race especially in younger generation within a short span of time. Youth is more inclined towards using mobile phones for activities other than communication than older generation because in adolescence stage, people are more susceptible to changing fashion trends and style, building them more Tech savvy which creates certain behavioral disorders. The fame of the cell phones is followed by an alarm towards the detrimental effects of cell phone radiation. Fatigue, headache, decreased concentration and local irritation and burning are the major effects of excessive usage of cell phones, as stated by various researches

A descriptive survey design was used to extract answers to the questionnaires administrated to 10<sup>th</sup>, 11<sup>th</sup> and 12<sup>th</sup> standard male science students in the Kanpur city, Uttar Pradesh, a state in India. Opinion of 30 skilled physicians in the field of ENT, cardiology and IVF specialists were also taken. Data was analyzed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS).

The study concludes that the use of mobile phones is quite common among the science students besides considering it as a status symbol; they treat it as their necessity of life. The crucial role played by parents,

schools and the publicity due to advertisements gives a push to such adoption behavior.

**Key words:** Mobile phones; Science students; Health; Parents

Aanchal Vasudev, Manmeet Kaur, Harsh Kumar, Rini Chaturvedi (2012). Mobile Phone Usage and Awareness of Health Related Issues Among the Male Science Students. *Studies in Sociology of Science*, *3*(3), 62-67. Available from http://www.cscanada.net/index.php/sss/article/view/j.sss.1923018420120303.1997 DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.3968/j.sss.1923018420120303.1997

#### INTRODUCTION

Cell phones are considered to be one of the most speedily emerging technologies in the human race (Rebello, 2010). By the end of the year 2010, there was a drastic increase in subscription of cell phones in developing countries reaching five billion worldwide which outnumbered the subscription in developed countries (Kelly, 2009; Rebello, 2010). According to Telecom Regulatory Authority of India, there are about 929.37 million mobile phone subscribers in India making it the world's second-largest cell phone using developing country in the month of May, 2012 (TRAI, 2012). Motorola, Nokia, Samsung, Sony Ericsson etc. are the popular mobile phone brands in Indian market luring their customers by introducing latest mobile phones at regular intervals (Singla, 2010).

There has been quite an enormous amount of popularity of cellular phones in younger generation within a short span of time (Hakoama & Hakoyama, 2011). Youth is more inclined towards using mobile phones for activities other than communication than older generation (Mackay & Weidlich, 2007) because in adolescence stage, people are more susceptible to changing fashion trends and style, building them more Tech savvy which creates certain behavioral disorders. On the contrary, administrators and teachers frequently consider the use of

<sup>[</sup>b] Faculty of Biotechnology and Biosciences, Lovely Professional University, Phagwara, India.

cell phones by students at schools, restraining them from their education and this arises as hurdles in their education (Johnson & Kritsonis, 2007). Moreover, mobile phones have aided in smoothening the progress of social release of youngsters from parental authority (Ling, 2004). But, their parents often have more sense of security when their children travel independently outside their home along with their phones (Baron, 2010).

The improved popularity of cellular phones is now alluring various research sectors' attention towards them (Hakoama & Hakoyama, 2011). The cell phone culture, changes in behavioral patterns (Campbell & Park, 2008; Bakke, 2010; Ling, 2004), and health risks from the hazardous radiations coming from the cell phones (Anna et al., 2006) are the major reasons behind this interest. Cell phones are no more considered to be an accessory and have become a basic requirement of our lives and people are dedicating a major part of their daily routine to these mobile phones. According to Psychiatrists, mobile phone obsession is now a foremost major nondrug addiction of this century (Ahmed & Qazi, 2011). The fame of the cell phones is followed by an alarm towards the detrimental effects of cell phone radiations (Makker et al., 2008). Fatigue, headache, decreased concentration and local irritation and burning are the major effects of excessive usage of cell phones, as stated by various researches (Sandstrom et al., 2001). Because ear is the first organ dealing with the cell phones, there is a elevated energy deposition in the ear as compared to other organs and its effect on hearing are debated (Ozturan et al., 2002). Along with the severe effects on general health, there was some research conducted which depicted reduction in male fertility potential (Agarwal et al., 2008).

The objective of this study was to understand the usage pattern of mobile phones nowadays by the male science students and to check whether they are aware of the fact that the various risk factors associated to their health are these cellular phones only.

### **METHODOLOGY**

A descriptive survey design was used to extract answers to the questionnaires administrated to 10<sup>th</sup>, 11<sup>th</sup> and 12<sup>th</sup> standard male science students who have joined the private coaching classes for the preparation of pre-medical and pre-engineering entrance tests with prior approval of the Coaching classes' owners and their teachers in Kanpur city, Uttar Pradesh, a state in India. Characteristic profile (Age, educational status, area and family income) and health risks associated with mobile phone usage were the two main criterions on which study of mobile phone usage among the students was conducted. A total of 602 students

were selected for the survey. Furthermore, opinion of 30 skilled physicians in the field of ENT, cardiology and IVF specialists, 10 volunteers from each were chosen to answer the questions regarding the use of mobile phones by the school going children and its effects on their body, in the questionnaire format. Data was analyzed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) program version 16 to calculate the frequencies and percentages.

## **RESULTS**

Table 1 shows characteristic profile, whereas Table 2 shows the responses of the students. Majority of students using cell phones fell into the age group of 16-18 years (57.6%). The percentage of students using cell phones was 36.5%, 28.4% and 35.0% for the 10<sup>th</sup>, 11<sup>th</sup> and 12<sup>th</sup> standard students, respectively with most of them residing in urban areas (78.9%). The majority of their parents' monthly income was more than Rs. 30,000 p. m (49.8%). For students, mobile phones are now treated as a life necessity (62.1%).

Table 1 Characteristics Profile of the Students

Characteristics	n= 602	%
Age, Y		
13-15	255	42.4
16-18	347	57.6
Education		
10 <sup>th</sup> Standard	220	36.5
11 <sup>th</sup> Standard	171	28.4
12 <sup>th</sup> Standard	211	35.0
Area		
Urban	475	78.9
Semi- Urban	83	13.8
Rural	44	7.3
Family Type		
Nuclear	364	60.5
Joint	238	39.5
Family Income/Month		
10,000-15,000 Rs	94	15.6
20,000-25,000 Rs	208	34.6
>30,000 Rs	300	49.8

Many students approved of carrying the cell phones during the school hours (36.1%) and a greater percentage of them accepted that their teachers were acquainted with the fact that the students used mobile phones in the school (51.0%). A greater part of the students were not familiar with the hazardous effects which mobile phones have on the ecosystem (51.3%), although they are entirely aware about the effects on health (52.8%). The phone advertisements are found to be the major reason which lures the students to buy these gadgets (48.3%).

Table 3 shows the responses of the physicians. A major percentage (58.6%) of the physician's community believed that the usage of mobile phones by school going children

is not justified and the time limit for the cell phone usage should be in the range of 1-2 hrs/day (69.0%). According to the IVF specialists, constant usage of cell phones by adolescents can lead to the decrease in their fertility level (44.4%) and when they reach their marriageable age, the fertility level is believed to decrease by 10-20% (55.6%). ENT specialists believed that the hearing power might also plummet and could be 5-10% less at the age of marriage (60.0%). Likewise, according to the cardiac specialists, heart's function in children got affected in the children using mobile phones (50.0%) and the level of functioning may get reduced by 5-10% (77.8%).

Table 2 Questionnaire Based on Mobile Phone Usage and Awareness of Health Related Issues

Questions         n= 602         %           How many mobile phones do you have*?         423         77.0           Two         126         23.0           Which company mobile phone do you use*?         Nokia           Sony Ericson         179         32.6           Samsung         114         20.8           Other         145         26.4           111         20.2           Why do you use mobile phone*?         208         37.9           As a status symbol its life necessity         341         62.1           Do you carry mobile phone with you during your school hours*?         198         36.1           No         351         63.9           Do your school teachers know that you have mobile phone with you*?         280         51.0           No         269         49.0           In a day how many hours you are using your mobile*?         192         35.0
One         423         77.0           Two         126         23.0           Which company mobile phone do you use*?         23.0           Nokia         10         23.0           Sony Ericson         179         32.6           Samsung         114         20.8           Other         145         26.4           111         20.2         208         37.9           its life necessity         341         62.1           Do you carry mobile phone with you during your school hours*?         198         36.1           No         351         63.9           Do your school teachers know that you have mobile phone with you*?         280         51.0           Yes         280         51.0           No         269         49.0           In a day how many hours you are using
Which company mobile phone do you use*? Nokia Sony Ericson 179 32.6 Samsung 114 20.8 Other 145 26.4 111 20.2  Why do you use mobile phone*? As a status symbol 208 37.9 its life necessity 341 62.1  Do you carry mobile phone with you during your school hours*? Yes 198 36.1 No 351 63.9  Do your school teachers know that you have mobile phone with you*? Yes 280 51.0 No 269 49.0  In a day how many hours you are using
Which company mobile phone do you use*? Nokia Sony Ericson 179 32.6 Samsung 114 20.8 Other 145 26.4 111 20.2  Why do you use mobile phone*? As a status symbol 208 37.9 its life necessity 341 62.1  Do you carry mobile phone with you during your school hours*? Yes 198 36.1 No 351 63.9  Do your school teachers know that you have mobile phone with you*? Yes 280 51.0 No 269 49.0  In a day how many hours you are using
Nokia         179         32.6           Samsung         114         20.8           Other         145         26.4           Why do you use mobile phone*?         111         20.2           Why do you use mobile phone*?         208         37.9           As a status symbol         208         37.9           its life necessity         341         62.1           Do you carry mobile phone with you during your school hours'?         198         36.1           No         351         63.9           Do your school teachers know that you have mobile phone with you'?         280         51.0           No         269         49.0           In a day how many hours you are using
Samsung         114         20.8           Other         145         26.4           Why do you use mobile phone*?         208         37.9           As a status symbol         208         37.9           its life necessity         341         62.1           Do you carry mobile phone with you during your school hours*?         198         36.1           No         351         63.9           Do your school teachers know that you have mobile phone with you*?         280         51.0           No         269         49.0           In a day how many hours you are using         49.0
Other 145 26.4  111 20.2  Why do you use mobile phone*? As a status symbol 208 37.9 its life necessity 341 62.1  Do you carry mobile phone with you during your school hours*? Yes 198 36.1 No 351 63.9  Do your school teachers know that you have mobile phone with you*? Yes 280 51.0 No 269 49.0  In a day how many hours you are using
Why do you use mobile phone*? As a status symbol 208 37.9 its life necessity 341 62.1 Do you carry mobile phone with you during your school hours*? Yes 198 36.1 No 351 63.9 Do your school teachers know that you have mobile phone with you*? Yes 280 51.0 No 269 49.0 In a day how many hours you are using
Why do you use mobile phone*?  As a status symbol its life necessity  Do you carry mobile phone with you during your school hours*?  Yes  198  No  351  539  Do your school teachers know that you have mobile phone with you*?  Yes  280  No  269  In a day how many hours you are using
As a status symbol its life necessity 341 62.1  Do you carry mobile phone with you during your school hours*?  Yes 198 36.1  No 351 63.9  Do your school teachers know that you have mobile phone with you*?  Yes 280 51.0  No 269 49.0  In a day how many hours you are using
its life necessity 341 62.1  Do you carry mobile phone with you during your school hours'? Yes 198 36.1  No 351 63.9  Do your school teachers know that you have mobile phone with you'? Yes 280 51.0  No 269 49.0  In a day how many hours you are using
Do you carry mobile phone with you during your school hours'? Yes 198 36.1 No 351 63.9 Do your school teachers know that you have mobile phone with you'? Yes 280 51.0 No 269 49.0 In a day how many hours you are using
your school hours ? Yes 198 36.1 No 351 63.9 Do your school teachers know that you have mobile phone with you ? Yes 280 51.0 No 269 49.0 In a day how many hours you are using
your school hours ? Yes 198 36.1 No 351 63.9 Do your school teachers know that you have mobile phone with you ? Yes 280 51.0 No 269 49.0 In a day how many hours you are using
No 351 63.9  Do your school teachers know that you have mobile phone with you*?  Yes 280 51.0  No 269 49.0  In a day how many hours you are using
Do your school teachers know that you have mobile phone with you*? Yes 280 51.0 No 269 49.0 In a day how many hours you are using
have mobile phone with you*? Yes No 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 280 21 280 21 269 269 269 269 27 280 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20
have mobile phone with you*? Yes No 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 280 21 280 21 269 269 269 269 27 280 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20
No 269 49.0  In a day how many hours you are using
In a day how many hours you are using
2hrs 241 43.9
4rhs 116 21.1
6hrs
You keep your mobile mostly*?
In Hand 152 27.6
In Trouser pocket 346 62.9
In Shirts pocket 51 9.3
In which mode you usually keep your
phone*?
Silent 171 31.1
General 233 42.4
Vibration 145 26.4
Do you know that mobile phone usage is
responsible for ecosystem disturbance?
Yes 293 48.7
No 309 51.3
Do you know that mobile phone usage is
responsible for number of ill effects like
hearing problems, cardiac problems and
infertility?
Yes 318 52.8
No 176 29.2
Cannot Say 108 17.9
Do you feel that mobile advertisements
attract you to buy the mobile phones?
Yes 291 48.3
No 311 51.7

<sup>\*</sup> Missing value existing.

Table 3
Doctors Opinion About the Use of Mobile Phone by the Students

Questions	n= 30	%
Questions  Do you feel that use of mobile phone by	n= 30	70
school going kids is worth it*?		
Yes	7	24.1
No	17	58.6
Cannot Say	5	17.2
According to you, if an adolescent uses a		
mobile phone daily, what should be the time		
limit for them*?		
1-2hrs	20	69.0
2-4hrs	5	17.2
>4hrs	4	13.8
Do you agree that use of mobile phones at	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	······
adolescence stage will decrease their fertility		
in near future**?		
Yes	4	44.4
No	2	22.2
Cannot say	3	33.3
In India the average marriage age in these	•	······
days for boys is 25- 30 years, so if a male		
continuously using the mobile phone from		
their adolescence stage, According to you		
what will be level of % in terms of decrease		
in their fertility**?		
5-10%	2	22.2
10-20%	5	55.6
>20%	2	22.2
Do you agree that use of mobile phones at		
adolescence stage will decrease their hearing		
power in near future***?		
Yes	6	60.0
No	2	20.0
Cannot say	2	20.0
In India the average marriage age in these		
days for boys is 25-30 years, so if a male		
continuously using the mobile phone from		
their adolescence stage, According to you		
what will be level of % in terms of decrease		
in their hearing power***?	(	(0.0
5-10%	6	60.0
10-20%	4 N:1	40.0
>20%  Do you agree that use of mobile phones	Nil	·····-
at adolescence stage will affect their heart functioning in near future****?		
Yes	5	50.0
No.	4	40.0
- 10	1	10.0
Cannot say In India the average marriage age in these	1	10.0
days for boys is 25- 30 years, so if a male		
continuously uses the mobile phone from		
their adolescence stage, According to you		
what will be level of % in terms of affecting		
their normal heart functioning****?		
5-10%	7	77.8
10-20%	2	22.2
>20%	Nil	-

<sup>\*</sup>Missing value existing, \*\*IVF, \*\*\*ENT, \*\*\*\*Cardiologist

### DISCUSSION

Originally, cell phones were created for adults for their business purpose (Aoki & Downes, 2003) which is quite similar to the fixed telephone in the early 20<sup>th</sup> century (Flinchy, 1997). In 2002, the number of mobile phone users worldwide surpassed those of fixed-phone

users depicting the growth of mobile phone technology (Srivastva, 2005). The speed of rising popularity of cell phones among youngsters around the world is quite remarkable (Campbell, 2005). The major pull experienced by youngsters towards different cell phones is due to the drop in the rate of the handsets, their smaller size and introduction of the pre-paid phone card (Ling, 2003). Although mobile phone is now considered to be the status emblem (Netsafe, 2005) and people have become more particular in buying their phones and are quite brand conscious too, the study conducted on the youngsters provided results, which showed that around 62.1% respondents feels cell phones as a basic necessity in their lives

The major cause of the tendency of the cell phone adoption by the youngsters is their parents. Parents have started providing their young ones cell phones, in order to maintain their children's safety when the parents send their young ones outside the home to face the world (Oksman & Rautianinen, 2003; Geser, 2004). On the contrary, parents believe the safety issues faced by their kids can only be sorted out by providing them a cell phone, but this turns out to be a bigger disaster for them as it leaves a larger impact on the whole family (Campbell, 2005). Cyber-bullying is the major danger faced by the youth and their parents as well indirectly due to the use of cellular phones (Williams & Williams, 2005; Keith & Martin, 2005). As in our finding, the students agreed that their parents provide them mobile phones as a birthday or a prize gift (33.9%, 47.5%). (Figure 1)



Figure 1 Cartoon

An additional reason is the use of mobile phones during the school hours, as in the result outcome, 36.1% students use the mobile phone in the School premises and 51.0% said that their teacher are familiar with their mobile usage in the schools. In the United States, the

majority of public schools have a rule against the use of cell phones in school, which requires students to either leave their phones at home or keep them in the switched off mode during the school hours (Obringer & Coffey, 2007). Other schools have started a policy where students are allowed to use cell phones either before or after the school hours only and not within school hours (Gerard, 2006). Conversely, parents feel that cell phones improves the safety of their children and they can maintain their protection by being in their constant touch and maintain a record of their children school activities or even contact them if any emergency arises (Obringer & Coffey, 2007; Zirkel, 2008).

The mobile phone advertisements are considered to be another factor responsible for the adoption behavior of the mobiles in the younger generations, a fact accepted by many mobile phone users (48.3%). To a certain extent, it becomes easy for the companies to influence customers, if they are able to guess their behavior and their requirements (Dinesh, 2012). Teenagers are an important part to this system, owing to their discretionary expenditure quality. Marketers create ads using movie actors as role models in order to attract the younger generation to sell their brands. Furthermore, the branded companies also employs the website corners to attract the customer as internet nowadays is considered to be an integral part of the society.

The major issues faced in the 21st century are the health risks and the problems which the ecosystem is facing due to the increased usage of mobile phones. Several studies showed that the cell phone's harmful radiations were able to degrade the quality of sperm with regard to quantity, viability, motility, morphology and few mutations in DNA causing severe changes in sperms (Agarwal et al., 2008; Agarwal et al., 2009; Diem et al., 2005). When a study was conducted on an animal, it was observed that there was an increase in oxidative stress in rat myocardium when exposed to 900MHz RF-EMW (30min/day, for 10 days) (Ozguner et al., 2007). In a study presented at American Academy of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck surgery Annual Meeting, 100 cell phone users when studied for a year showed remarkable increased degree of hearing loss over the past period of 12 months (Oktay & Dasdag, 2006). In our study, 52.8% of the students approved of knowing about the ill effects of the mobile usage on health but, this fact does not stop them to use mobile phones.

In our survey, we found that 51.3% of the students were not aware about the ecosystem disturbance by the use of mobile phones which is quite critical. Our fauna system suffers the ill effects of mobile phone radiations as well. The most recent example is the noticeable decline in the population of house sparrow. A sharp decline in the population of house sparrow has been observed in London; a 70% drop since 1994 (Summer-Smith, 2003).

Recently, the house sparrow population has plummeted across Bangalore, Mumbai, Hyderabad and other cities in India, as observed by some ornithologists (Dandapat *et al.*, 2010) and the major reason behind this is the hazardous effects of electromagnetic waves from cell phone towers injures the sparrows and reduces their fertility (Dandapat *et al.*, 2010).

Not only have these waves showed their effects on sparrows, but on the bees as well. This is confirmed by the fact that bees simply leave their hives and never return back (Hamzelou, 2007). Colony Collapse Disorder (CCD) is the name given to this problem. Electromagnetic field has now thus emerged out to be a powerful criminal when the reports showed remarkable influences on honeybee behavioral patterns and physiology (Carlo, 2007). Similar experiments are also being conducted on honeybee behavior changes due to electromagnetic radiations in India as well (Sharma & Kumar, 2010); Kerala state having the major reduction in honeybee population posing a serious threat overall the country (DNA, 2009).

#### CONCLUSION

The study concludes that the use of mobile phones is quite common among the science students besides considering it as a status symbol; they treat it as their necessity of life. Similar results were obtained when a survey was conducted on university students in Pakistan (Ahmed & Qazi, 2011). The crucial role played by parents, schools and the publicity due to advertisements gives a push to such adoption behavior. There has been a strict regulation issued on the use of cellular phones by the school children by various governments and advisory bodies of countries like Europe, USA and Russia (Mobilewise, 2011). A circular prohibiting the use of cell phones in all government and private colleges, has been issued by department of collegiate education in Karnataka state, India (The Hindu, 2009). What we have discovered is that the students are totally aware about the ill effects of the mobile phones but still they continue using it so as to maintain a place in the society owing to the fact that cell phones are now a status symbol and the more expensive the phone, higher is the status of a person. To decrease this addiction to certain extent, we believe that parents, doctors and the school teachers are required to play a key role and widen their horizon regarding the use of cell phones to prevent their kids from various dangers like cyber bullying and wrong company of their kids.

### **AUTHOR'S CONTRIBUTION**

Aanchal Vasudev developed the questionnaire for the students, collected the data from the students and participated in scientific discussion. Manmeet Kaur collected the data from the physicians, participated in the discussion and drafting the manuscript. Harsh Kumar conceptualized the study and designed the research protocol, directed all aspects of this research, wrote the final draft. Rini Chaturvedi developed the questionnaire for physicians, participated in the scientific discussion and drafting the manuscript.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The authors would like to thank the Students and Physicians for their involvement and cooperation in this research. Authors would also like to thank Mr. Shekhar Gurera for designing the cartoon exclusively for our research.

# REFERENCES

- Agarwal, A., Deepinder, F., Sharma, R.K., Ranga, G., & LI, J. (2008). Effect of Cell Phone Usage on Semen Analysis in Men Attending Infertility Clinic: An Observational Study. *Fertility and Sterility*, 89(1), 124-128.
- Agarwal, A., Desai, N.R., Makker, K., Varghese, A., Mouradi, R., Sabanegh, E., & Sharma, R. (2009). Effects of Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Wave (RF-EMW) from Cellular Phones on Human Ejaculated Semen: An Vitro Pilot Study. *Fertility and Sterility*, *92*(4), 1318-25.
- Ahmed, I., & Qazi, T.F. (2011). Mobile Phone Adoption and Consumption Patterns of University Students in Pakistan. International Journal of Business and Social Science, 2(9) 205-213
- Anna, L., Kari, T., & Anssi, A. (2006). Meta-Analysis of Mobile Phone Use and Intracranial Tumors. *Scandinavian Journal of Work, Environment and Health*, *32*, 171-177.
- Aoki, K., & Downes, E.J. (2003). An Analysis of Young People's Use of and Attitudes Toward Cell Phones. *Telematics and Informatics*, 20, 349-364.
- Bakke, E. (2010). A Model and Measure of Mobile Communication Competence. *Human Communication Research*, 36, 348-371.
- Baron, N.S. (2010). *The Dark Side of Mobile Phones*. Retrieved from http://www.american.edu/cas/lfs/.../The-Dark-Side-of-Mobile-Phones.pdf
- Campbell, M. (2005). *The Impact of the Mobile Phone on Young People's Social Life.* Paper Presented to the Social Change in the 21<sup>st</sup> Century Conference. Retrieved from http://eprints.qut.edu.au/3492/1/3492.pdf
- Campbell, S.W., & Park, Y.J. (2008). Social Implications of Mobile Telephony: The Rise of Personal Communication Society. *Sociology Compass*, *2*, 371-387.
- Carlo, G.L. (2007). *Radiation is Killing Bees Despite the Cellphone Industry's*. Retrieved from http://www.buergerwelle.de/pdf/radiation is killing the bees.htm
- Dandapat, A., Banerjee, D., & Chakarborty, D. (2010). The Case of Disappearing House Sparrow. *Veterinary World*, 3(2), 97-

- Diem, E., Schwarz, C., Adlkofer, F., Jahn, O., & Rudiger, H. (2005). Non-thermal DNA Breakage by Mobile Phone Radiation (1800MHz) in Human Fibroblasts and in Transformed GFSH-R17 Rat Granulosa Cells in Vitro. *Mutation Research*, 583(2), 178-183.
- Dinesh, G.P. (2012). Advertising and Promotion Campaigns as Branding Tools on Teenagers. *Asian Journal of Research in Marketing*, 1(2), 6-13.
- DNA. (2009, August 31). Mobile Towers Threaten Honey Bees in Kerala: A Study. Retrieved from https://www.dnaindia. com/scitech/report\_mobile-towers-threaten-honey-bees-inkerala-study 1286577
- Flinchy, P. (1997). Perspectives for a Sociology of the Telephone. *The French Journal of Communication*, 5(2), 149-160.
- Geser, H. (2004). Towards a Sociological Theory of Mobile Phone. Retrieved from http://socio.ch/mobile/t\_geser1.pdf
- Gerard, V.St. (2006). Updating Policy on Latest Risks for Students with Cell Phones in the School. *Education Digest*, 72(4), 43-46.
- Hakoama, M., & Hakoyama, S. (2011). The Impact of Cell Phone Use on Social Networking and Development Among College Students. The American Association of Behavioral and Social Sciences Journal, 15, 1-20.
- Hamzelou, J. (2007). Where have All the Bees Gone? *Lancet*, 370, 639.
- Johnson, C., & Kritsonis, W.A. (2007). National School Debate: Banning Cell Phones on Public School Campuses in America. National forum of Education Administration and Supervision Journals, 25(4), 1-6.
- Kelly, T. (2009). Mobile 2.0 Beyond Voice? Research Agenda Keynote address at International Communication Association Performance, Chicago, IL.
- Keith, S., & Martin, M. (2005). Cyber-Bullying: Creating a Culture of Respect in a Cyber World. *Reclaiming Children* and Youth, 13(4), 224-228.
- Ling, R. (2004). *The Mobile Connection: The Cell Phone's Impact on Society.* San Francisco: Morgan Kaufman.
- Ling, R. (2003). Fashion and Vulgarity in the Adoption of the Mobile Telephone Among Teens in Norway. *Mediating the Human Body: Technology, Communication and Fashion*, 93-102.
- Mackay, M.M., & Weidlich, O. (2007). Australian Mobile Phone Lifestyle Index (3rd ed.). Special Topic: Advertising on the Mobile Phone. Australian Interactive Media Industry Association.
- Makker, K., Varghese, A., Desai, N.R., Mouradi, R., & Agarwal, A. (2008). Cell Phones: Modern Man's Nemesis? *Reproductive Biomedicine Online, 18*(1), 148-157.
- Mobilewise. (2011). *Mobile Phone Health Risks: The Case for Action to Protect Children*. Retrieved from http://www.ezu.at/news/MobileWise mobile phone health risks.pdf

- Netsafe. (2005). The Text Generation: Mobile Phones and New Zealand Youth: A Report of Result from the Internet Safety Group's Survey of Teenage Mobile Phone Use. Retrieved from http://www.netsafe.org.nz/Doc\_Library/publications/text generation v2.pdf
- Obringer, J.S., & Coffey, K. (2007). Cell Phones in American High Schools: A National Survey. *The Journal of Technology Studies*, 33(1), 41-47.
- Oksman, V., & Rautiainen, P. (2003). Extension of the Hand: Children's and Teenager's Relationship with Mobile Phone in Finland. *Mediating the Human Body: Technology, Communication and Fashion*, 103-112.
- Oktay, M.F., & Dasdag, S. (2006). Effects of Intensive and Moderate Cellular Phone Use on Hearing Function. *Electromagn Biol Med*, 25(1), 13-21.
- Ozguner, B., Altinbas, A., Ozaydin, M., Dogan, A., Vural, H., Kisioglu, A.N., Cesur, G., & Yildirim, N.G. (2007). Mobile Phone Induced Myocardial Oxidative Stress: Protection by a Novel Antioxidant Agent Caffeic Acid Phenethyl Ester. *Toxicology and Industrial Health*, 21, 223-230.
- Ozturan, O., Erdem, T., Miman, M.C., Kalcioglu, M.T., & Oncel, S. (2002). Effects of the Electromagnetic Field of Mobile Telephones on Hearing. *Acta Otolaryngol*, 122(3), 289-293.
- Rebello, J. (2010). *Global Wireless Subscription Reach 5 Billion*. Retrieved from http://www.isuppli.com/Mobile-and-Wireless-Communication/News/Pages/Global-Wirelss-Subscription-Research-5-Billion.aspx
- Sandstrom, M., Wilen, J., Oftedal, G., Hansson, M, K. (2001).
  Mobile Phone Use and Subjective Symptoms. Comparison of Symptoms Experienced by Users of Analogue and Digital Mobile Phones. Occupational Medicine (Lond), 51, 25-35.
- Sharma, V.P., & Kumar, N.R. (2010). Changes in Honeybee Behaviour and Biology Under the Influence of Cell Phone Radiations. *Current Science*, *98*(10), 1376-1378.
- Singla, S. (2010). Mobile Phone Usage Patterns Among Indian Consumer - An Exploratory Study. Asian Journal of Management Research, 594-599.
- Srivastva, L. (2005). Mobile Phones and the Evolution of Social Behaviour. *Behaviour and Information Technology, 24*, 111-129.
- Summer-Smith, J.D. (2003). Decline of the House Sparrow: A Review. *British Birds*, *96*, 439-446.
- Telecom Regulatory Authority of India. (2012). Retrieved from http://www.trai.gov.in/WriteReadData/PressRealease/ Document/PR-TSD-May12.pdf
- The Hindu. (2009, October 14). *Government Bans Cellphones in Its Colleges*. Retrieved from http://www.hindu.com/2009/09/14/stories/2009091454460500.htm
- Williams, S., & Williams, L. (2005). Space Invaders: The Negotiation of Teenage Boundaries Through the Mobile Phone. *The Sociological Review*, *53*, 315-330.
- Zirkel, P.A. (2008). Calling off Cell Phones. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 89(6), 464-466.