## General Numerical Radius Inequalities

## Mohammed Al-Dolat ${ }^{[a]}$ and Mohammed Ali ${ }^{[a], *}$

[a] Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Jordan University of Science and Technology, Irbid, Jordan.

* Corresponding author.

Address: Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Jordan University of Science and Technology, Irbid, Jordan; E-Mail: myali@just.edu.jo

Received: November 6, 2012/ Accepted: January 18, 2013/ Published: February 28, 2013

Abstract: In this article, we establish new numerical radius inequalities for bounded linear operators on a complex Hilbert space. Also, we generalize some known inequalities.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let $H$ be a complex Hilbert space with inner product $\langle.,$.$\rangle , and let B(H)$ be the space of $C^{*}$-algebra of all bounded linear operators on $H$. For $T \in B(H)$, let $T^{*}$ denote the adjoint operator of $T$. Also, let $w(T)$ denote the numerical radius of $T$ given by

$$
w(T)=\sup \{|\langle T x, x\rangle|: x \in H,\|x\|=1\} .
$$

It is well known that $w($.$) is a norm on B(H)$, which is equivalent to the usual operator norm $\|\cdot\|$ defined, for $T \in B(H)$, by

$$
\|T\|=\sup \{\|T x\|: x \in H,\|x\|=1\}
$$

where $\|x\|=\langle x, x\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}$. More particular, for $T \in B(H)$, it is known [1] that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}\|T\| \leq w(T) \leq\|T\| \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that when $T^{2}=0$, we get $w(T)=\frac{1}{2}\|T\|$ (see [2]); however, $w(T)=\|T\|$ is satisfied when $A$ is normal bounded operator.

Several numerical radius inequalities that provide alternative lower and upper bounds for $w($.$) have received much attention from many authors. We refer the$ readers to [3], [4], [1], and [5] for the history and significance, and [6], [7], [2], [8], and [9] for recent developments in this area. For example, Kittaneh [2] proved that for $T \in H$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(T) \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\||T|+\left|T^{*}\right|\right\| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\|T\|+\left\|T^{2}\right\|^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $|T|=\left(T^{*} T\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is the absolute value of $T$. Kittaneh [9] improved inequality (1.1), and in [9], he determined that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{4}\left\|T^{*} T+T T^{*}\right\| \leq w^{2}(T) \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\|T^{*} T+T T^{*}\right\| \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Although some open problems related to the numerical radius inequalities for bounded linear operator still remain open, the investigation to establish numerical radius inequalities for several bounded linear operators has been started, (see for instance [10] and [1]). For example, if $T_{1}, T_{2} \in B(H)$, it is known [1] that

$$
w\left(T_{1} T_{2}\right) \leq 4 w\left(T_{1}\right) w\left(T_{2}\right)
$$

Moreover, in the case $T_{1} T_{2}=T_{2} T_{1}$, it is verified in [1] that

$$
w\left(T_{1} T_{2}\right) \leq 2 w\left(T_{1}\right) w\left(T_{2}\right)
$$

However, the sharp inequality

$$
w\left(T_{1} T_{2}\right) \leq w\left(T_{1}\right) w\left(T_{2}\right)
$$

still has not been reached. A useful result in this direction, which can be found in [11], says that for any $T_{1}, T_{2} \in B(H)$,

$$
w\left(T_{1} T_{2} \pm T_{2} T_{1}^{*}\right) \leq 2\left\|T_{1}\right\| w\left(T_{2}\right)
$$

If $T_{1}, T_{2} \in B(H)$, and $T_{1}$ is positive operator, Kittaneh in [12] showed that

$$
w\left(T_{1} T_{2}-T_{2} T_{1}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\|T_{1}\right\|\left(\left\|T_{2}\right\|+\left\|T_{2}^{2}\right\|^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) .
$$

Recently, the authors of [13] applied a different approach to obtain a new numerical radius inequality for commutators of Hilbert space operators. They showed that for $T_{1}, T_{2}, T_{3}, T_{4} \in B(H)$,

$$
w\left(T_{1} T_{3} T_{2}^{*} \pm T_{2} T_{4} T_{1}^{*}\right) \leq 2\left\|T_{1}\right\|\left\|T_{2}\right\| w\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & T_{3}  \tag{1.4}\\
T_{4} & 0
\end{array}\right]\right)
$$

The following numerical radius inequality for certain $2 \times 2$ operator matrices is obtained in [14],

$$
\sqrt[2 n]{\max \left\{w\left((X Y)^{n}\right), w\left((Y X)^{n}\right)\right\}} \leq w\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & X  \tag{1.5}\\
Y & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) \leq \frac{\|X\|+\|Y\|}{2}, \quad(X, Y \in B(H)) .
$$

The purpose of this work is to establish various numerical radius inequalities for bounded linear operators on a complex Hilbert space. In particular, we use a tranquil approach to generalize inequalities (1.4) and (1.5).

## 2. THE MAIN RESULTS

The aim of this section is to establish new numerical radius inequalities and to generalize inequalities (1.4)-(1.5). To achieve our target, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1 ([3]). Let $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{m} \in B(H)$. Then

$$
w\left(\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
X_{1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & X_{2} & \ddots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\
0 & \cdots & 0 & X_{m}
\end{array}\right]\right)=\max \left\{w\left(X_{1}\right), w\left(X_{2}\right), \ldots, w\left(X_{m}\right)\right\}
$$

Using a straightforward technique and some known inequalities, we derive our first result.

Theorem 2.2. Let $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{n}, X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n} \in B(H)$, and let

$$
T=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & X_{1} \\
\vdots & \vdots & . & \cdot & X_{2} \\
0 \\
\vdots & . & X_{3} & . \cdot & \vdots \\
0 & . \cdot & . \cdot & \vdots & \vdots \\
X_{n} & 0 & \ldots & \ldots & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Then if $n$ is even,

$$
w\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} A_{k} X_{k} A^{*}{ }_{n-k+1}\right) \leq 2 w(T) \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{n}{2}}\left\|A_{k}\right\|\left\|A_{n-k+1}\right\|
$$

and if $n$ is odd,

$$
w\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} A_{k} X_{k} A^{*}{ }_{n-k+1}\right) \leq\left(\left\|A_{\frac{n+1}{2}}\right\|^{2}+\sum_{k=1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} 2\left\|A_{k}\right\|\left\|A_{n-k+1}\right\|\right) w(T)
$$

Proof. Let $x, z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{n} \in H$ with $\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|z_{i}\right\|^{2}\right) \neq 0$. Define $z=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|z_{i}\right\|^{2}}}\left[\begin{array}{c}z_{1} \\ z_{2} \\ \vdots \\ z_{n}\end{array}\right]$.
Since $z$ is unit vector in $\underset{i=1}{\underset{i}{n}} H_{i}$, we obtain

$$
w(T) \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|z_{k}\right\|^{2} \geq\left|\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\langle X_{k} z_{n-k+1}, z_{k}\right\rangle\right|
$$

Replace $z_{k}$ by $A_{k} x$ and $z_{n-k+1}$ by $A_{n-k+1} x$, we reach to

$$
\left|\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\langle A_{k}^{*} X_{k} A_{n-k+1} x, x\right\rangle\right|=\left|\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\langle X_{k} A_{n-k+1} x, A_{k} x\right\rangle\right|
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq w(T) \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|A_{k} x\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq w(T)\|x\|^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|A_{k}\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
w\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} A_{k}^{*} A X_{n-k+1}\right) \leq w(T) \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|A_{k}\right\|^{2}
$$

On one hand, if $n$ is even, then

$$
w\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} A_{k}^{*} A X_{n-k+1}\right) \leq w(T) \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{n}{2}}\left(\left\|A_{k}\right\|^{2}+\left\|A_{n-k+1}\right\|^{2}\right)
$$

For $t>0$, replace $A_{k}$ by $t A_{k}$ and $A_{n-k+1}$ by $\frac{1}{t} A_{n-k+1}$, we derive

$$
w\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} A_{k} X_{k} A_{n-k+1}^{*}\right) \leq w(T) \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{n}{2}}\left(\frac{t^{4}\left\|A_{k}\right\|^{2}+\left\|A_{n-k+1}\right\|^{2}}{t^{2}}\right)
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
w\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} A_{k} X_{k} A_{n-k+1}^{*}\right) & \leq w(T) \min _{t>0}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\frac{n}{2}} \frac{t^{4}\left\|A_{k}\right\|^{2}+\left\|A_{n-k+1}\right\|^{2}}{t^{2}}\right) \\
& \leq w(T) \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{n}{2}} \min _{t>0}\left(\frac{t^{4}\left\|A_{k}\right\|^{2}+\left\|A_{n-k+1}\right\|^{2}}{t^{2}}\right) \\
& \leq 2 w(T) \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{n}{2}}\left\|A_{k}\right\|\left\|A_{n-k+1}\right\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, if $n$ is odd, following the same manner used above, we achieve that

$$
\begin{aligned}
w\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} A_{k}^{*} X_{k} A_{n-k+1}\right) & \leq w(T) \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|A_{k}\right\|^{2} \\
& =w(T)\left(\left\|A_{\frac{n+1}{2}}\right\|^{2}+\sum_{k=1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\left(\left\|A_{k}\right\|^{2}+\left\|A_{n-k+1}\right\|^{2}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We end the proof by replacing $A_{k}$ by $t A_{k}$ and $A_{n-k+1}$ by $\frac{1}{t} A_{n-k+1}$ for $t>0$.

Applying Theorem 2.2 with $n=2, A_{1}=A, A_{2}=I, X_{1}=B$, and $X_{2}= \pm B ;$ and using inequality (1.1), we achieve

$$
w\left(A B \pm B A^{*}\right) \leq 2\|A\| w(B)
$$

Following the same manner; take $n=2, A_{1}=T_{1}, X_{1}=T_{3}, A_{2}=T_{2}$, as well as $X_{2}=e^{\mp i \pi} T_{4}= \pm T_{4}$, and use [14], Lemma 2.1(b) to reach inequality (1.4).

Let us use inequalities (1.1)-(1.2) and Lemma 2.1 to prove the following theorem.
Theorem 2.3. Let $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{m} \in B(H)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt[2 n]{\max \left\{w\left(\left(X_{i} X_{m-i+1}\right)^{n}\right): i=1,2, \ldots, m\right\}} \leq w(T) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\left\|X_{i}\right\|}{2} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
T=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & \ldots & 0 & X_{1} \\
\vdots & . \cdot & X_{2} & 0 \\
0 & . \cdot & . \cdot & \vdots \\
X_{m} & 0 & \ldots & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Proof. Let

$$
\begin{gathered}
L_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & X_{1} \\
\vdots & \vdots & . & \cdot & 0 \\
\vdots & . & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots \\
0 & . & . & . & \cdots \\
0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0
\end{array}\right]_{m \times m} \\
L_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & . & X_{2} & \vdots \\
\vdots & . \cdot & 0 & 0 & \vdots \\
0 & . \cdot & . \cdot & \cdots & \vdots \\
0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0
\end{array}\right]_{m \times m} \\
\cdots, \text { and } L_{m}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & . \cdot & . & 0 \\
\vdots & . & . & 0 & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & . \cdot & \cdots & \vdots \\
X_{m} & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0
\end{array}\right]_{m \times m}
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $L_{1}^{2}=L_{2}^{2}=\cdots=L_{m}^{2}=[0]_{m \times m}$, we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(T) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} w\left(L_{i}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left\|X_{i}\right\| . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

As

$$
T^{2 n}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
\left(X_{1} X_{m}\right)^{n} & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & \ddots & \ddots & & \vdots \\
\vdots & \ddots & \left(X_{i} X_{m-i+1}\right)^{n} & \ddots & \vdots \\
\vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\
0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & \left(X_{m} X_{1}\right)^{n}
\end{array}\right]
$$

we deduce, by Lemma 2.1, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left\{w\left(\left(X_{i} X_{m-i+1}\right)^{n}\right): i=1,2, \ldots, m\right\}=w\left(T^{2 n}\right) \leq w^{2 n}(T) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By this and inequality (2.2), we finish the proof.
Use the above theorem with $m=2$, we derive

$$
\sqrt[2 n]{\max \left\{w\left(\left(X_{1} X_{2}\right)^{n}\right), w\left(\left(X_{2} X_{1}\right)^{n}\right)\right\}} \leq w\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & X_{1} \\
X 2 & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) \leq \frac{\left\|X_{1}\right\|+\left\|X_{2}\right\|}{2}
$$

which is exactly inequality (1.5).
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