Progress in Applied Mathematics Vol. 6, No. 1, 2013, pp. [54–63] **DOI:** 10.3968/j.pam.1925252820130601.409

# Algebraic Properties of the Category of Q-P Quantale Modules

## LIANG Shaohui<sup>[a],\*</sup>

<sup>[a]</sup>Department of Mathematics, Xi'an University of Science and Technology, China.

\* Corresponding author.

Address: Department of Mathematics, Xi'an University of Science and Technology, Xi'an 710054, China; E-Mail: Liangshaohui1011@163.com

**Supported by** The National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 10871121) and the Engagement Award (2010041) and Dr. Foundation (2010QD-J024) of Xi'an University of Science and Technology, China.

Received: April 5, 2013/ Accepted: June 17, 2013/ Published: July 31, 2013

**Abstract:** In this paper, the definition of a Q-P quantale module and some relative concepts were introduced. Based on which, some properties of the Q-P quantale module, and the structure of the free Q-P quantale modules generated by a set were obtained. It was proved that the category of Q-P quantale modules is algebraic.

 ${\bf Key}\ {\bf words:}\ {\bf Q}\mbox{-}{\bf P}\ {\bf quantale}\ {\bf modules};\ {\bf Equalizer};\ {\bf Forgetful\ functor};\ {\bf Algebraic\ category}$ 

Liang, S. (2013). Algebraic Properties of the Category of Q-P Quantale Modules. Progress in Applied Mathematics, 6(1), 54–63. Available from http://www.cscanada.net/index. php/pam/article/view/j.pam.1925252820130601.409 DOI: 10.3968/j.pam.1925252820130 601.409

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Quantale was proposed by Mulvey in 1986 for studying the foundations of quantum logic and for studying non-commutation C\*-algebras. The term quantale was coined as a combination of "quantum logic" and "locale" by Mulvey in [1]. The systematic introduction of quantale theory came from the book [2], which written by Rosenthal in 1990.

Since quantale theory provides a powerful tool in studying noncommutative structures, it has a wide applications, especially in studying noncommutative  $C^*$ algebra theory [3], the ideal theory of commutative ring [4], linear logic [5] and so on. So, the quantale theory has aroused great interests of many scholar and experts, a great deal of new ideas and applications of quantale have been proposed in twenty years [6-18].

Since the ideal of quantale module was proposed by Abramsky and Vickers [19], the quantale module has attracted many scholars eyes. With the development of the quantale theory, the theory of quantale module was studied deeply in [20-25]. In this paper, some properties of the category of Q-P quantale modules was discussed, especially that the category of Q-P quantale modules is algebraic was proved.

#### 2. PRELIMINARIES

**Definition 2.1** [2] A quantale is a complete lattice Q with an associative binary operation "&" satisfying:

 $a\&(\bigvee_{i\in I}b_i) = \bigvee_{i\in I}(a\&b_i)$  and  $(\bigvee_{i\in I}b_i)\&a = \bigvee_{i\in I}(b_i\&a)$ , for all  $a, b_i \in Q$ , where I is a set, 0 and 1 denote the smallest element and the

greatest element of Q respectively.

**Definition 2.2** A nonzero element a in a quantale Q is said to be a *nonzero* divisor if for all nonzero element  $b \in Q$  such that  $a\&b \neq 0$ ,  $b\&a \neq 0$ . Q is nonzero divisor if every  $a \in Q$  is a nonzero divisor.

**Definition 2.3** Let Q, P be a quantale, a Q-P quantale module over Q, P(briefly, a Q-P-module) is a complete lattice M, together with a mapping T:  $Q \times M \times P \longrightarrow M$  satisfies the following conditions:

(1)  $T(\bigvee_{i \in I} a_i, m, \bigvee_{j \in J} b_j) = \bigvee_{i \in I} \bigvee_{j \in J} T(a_i, m, b_j);$ (2)  $T(a, (\bigvee_{k \in K} m_k), b) = \bigvee_{k \in K} T(a, m_k, b);$ 

(3) T(a&b, m, c&d) = T(a, T(b, m, c), d) for all  $a_i, a, b \in Q, b_j, c, d \in P, m_k, m \in Q$ M. We shall denote the Q-P quantale module M over Q, P by (M, T).

If Q is unital quantale with unit e, we define T(e, m, e) = m for all  $m \in M$ .

**Example 2.4** (1) Let  $Q = P = \{0, a, b, c, 1\}$  be a set,  $M = \{0, d, e, 1\}$  is a complete lattice. The order relations of Q and M are given by the following figure 1 and 2, we give a binary operator "&" on Q satisfying the diagram 1.



We can prove that Q is a quantale.

Now, define a mapping  $T: Q \times M \times Q \longrightarrow M$  such that T(x, m, y) = m for all  $x, y \in Q, m \in M$ . Then (M, T) be a Q-P quantale module.

(2) Let  $Q = P = \{0, a, b, 1\}$  be a complete lattice. The order relation on Q satisfies the following Figure 3 and the binary operation of Q satisfies the diagram 2:



It is easy to show that (Q, &) is a quantale. Let  $M = \{0, a, 1\} \subseteq Q$ , then M is a complete lattice with the inheriting order on Q. Now, we define  $T : Q \times M \times Q \longrightarrow M$  satisfies T(x, m, y) = x & m & y for all  $x, y \in Q, m \in M$ . Then (M, T) is a Q-P quantale module.

**Definition 2.5** Let Q, P be a quantale,  $(M_1, T_1)$  and  $(M_2, T_2)$  are Q-P quantale modules. A mapping  $f : M_1 \longrightarrow M_2$  is said to be a Q - P quantale module homomorphism if f satisfies the following conditions:

(1)  $f(\bigvee_{i \in I} m_i) = \bigvee_{i \in I} f(m_i);$ 

(2)  $f(T_1(a, m, b)) = T_2(a, f(m), b)$  for all  $a \in Q, b \in P, m_i, m \in M$ .

**Definition 2.6** Let  $(M, T_M)$  be a Q - P quantale module over Q, P, N be a subset of M, N is said to be a *submodule* of M if N is closed under arbitrary join and  $T_M(a, n, b) \in N$  for all  $a \in Q, b \in P, n \in N$ .

**Definition 2.7** [26] A concrete category  $(\mathcal{A}, U)$  is called *algebraic* provided that it satisfies the following conditions:

(1)  $\mathcal{A}$  has coequalizers;

(2) U has a left adjoint;

(3) U preserves and reflects regular epimorphisms.

### 3. THE CATEGORY OF Q-P QUANTALE MODULES IS ALGEBRAIC

**Definition 3.1.** Let Q, P be a quantale,  ${}_{\mathbf{Q}}\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbf{P}}$  be the category whose objects are the Q-P quantale modules of Q, P, and morphisms are the Q-P quantale module homomorphisms, i.e.,

 $\mathcal{O}b(\mathbf{QMod}_{\mathbf{P}}) = \{ M : M \text{ is } Q \text{-} P \text{ quantale modules} \},$ 

 $\mathcal{M}or(_{\mathbf{Q}}\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbf{P}}) = \{f : M \longrightarrow N \text{ is the Q-P quantale modules homorphism}\}.$ 

Hence, the category  $_{\mathbf{Q}}\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbf{P}}$  is a concrete category.

**Definition 3.2.** Let Q, P is a quantale,  $(M, T_M)$  is a Q-P quantale module,  $R \subseteq M \times M$ . The set R is said to be a *congruence* of Q-P quantale module on M if R satisfies:

(1) R is an equivalence relation on M;

(2) If  $(m_i, n_i) \in R$  for all  $i \in I$ , then  $(\underset{i \in I}{\lor} m_i, \underset{i \in I}{\lor} n_i) \in R$ ;

(3) If  $(m,n) \in R$ , then  $(T_M(a,m,b), T_M(a,n,b)) \in R$  for all  $a \in Q, b \in P$ .

We denote the set of all congruence on M by  $Con(_QM_P)$ , then  $Con(_QM_P)$  is a complete lattice with respect to the inclusion order.

Let Q, P be a quantale, M is a Q-P quantale module, R is a congrence of Q-P quantale module on M, define the order relation on M/R such that  $[m] \leq [n]$  if and only if  $[m \vee n] = [n]$  for all  $[m], [n] \in M/R$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** Let Q, P be a quantale, M be a Q-P quantale module, R be a congrence of double quantale module on M. Define  $T_{M/R} : Q \times M/R \times P \longrightarrow M/R$  such that  $T_{M/R}(a, [m], b) = [T_M(a, m, b)]$  for all  $a \in Q, b \in P$ ,  $[m] \in M/R$ , then  $(M/R, T_{M/R})$  is a Q-P quantale module and  $\pi : m \mapsto [m] : M \longrightarrow M/R$  is a Q-P quantale module homomorphisms.

*Proof.* (1) We will prove that "  $\leq$  "is a partial order on M/R, and  $T_{M/R}$  is well defined. In fact, for all  $[m], [n], [l] \in M/R$ ,

(i) It's clearly that  $[m] \leq [m];$ 

(ii) Let  $[m] \leq [n], [n] \leq [m]$ , then  $[m \lor n] = [n]and[n \lor m] = [m]$ , thus [m] = [n]; (iii) Let  $[m] \leq [n], [n] \leq [l]$ , then  $[m \lor n] = [n]and[n \lor l] = [l]$ , therefore  $[m \lor l] = [m \lor (n \lor l)] = [(m \lor n) \lor e] = [n \lor l] = [l]$ .

If  $[m_1] = [m_2]$ , then  $(m_1, m_2) \in R$ ,  $(T_M(a, m, b), T_M(a, n, b)) \in R$  for all  $a, b \in Q$ , i.e.,  $[T_M(a, m, b)] = [T_M(a, n, b)]$ , thus  $T_{M/R}$  is well defined.

(2) We will prove that  $(M/R, \leq)$  is a complete lattice. Let  $\{[m_i] \mid i \in I\} \subseteq M/R$ , we have

(i) Since  $[m_i \lor (\bigvee_{i \in I} m_i)] = [\bigvee_{i \in I} m_i]$  for all  $i \in I$ , then  $[m_i] \le [\bigvee_{i \in I} m_i]$ ;

(ii) Let  $[m] \in M/R$  and  $[m_i] \leq [m]$  for all  $i \in I$ , then  $[m_i \lor m] = [m]$  for all  $i \in I$ , hence,  $[(\bigvee_{i \in I} m_i) \lor m] = [\bigvee_{i \in I} (m_i \lor m)] = [m]$ , i.e.,  $[\bigvee_{i \in I} m_i] \leq [m]$ .

Thus  $\bigvee_{i \in I}^{M/R} [m_i] = [\bigvee_{i \in I} m_i].$ 

(3) For all  $\{a_i \mid i \in I\} \subseteq Q$ ,  $\{b_j \mid j \in J\} \subseteq Q$ ,  $\{[m_l] \mid l \in H\} \subseteq M/R$ ,  $a, b \in Q, c, d \in P$ ,  $[m] \in M/R$ , we have that

$$\begin{array}{l} (\mathrm{i}) \; T_{M/R}(\bigvee_{i \in I} a_i, [m], \bigvee_{j \in J} b_j) = [T_M(\bigvee_{i \in I} a_i, m, \bigvee_{j \in J} b_j)] = [\bigvee_{i \in I} \bigvee_{j \in J} T_M(a_i, m, b_j)] \\ = \bigvee_{i \in I} \bigvee_{j \in J} T_M[a_i, m, b_j] = \bigvee_{i \in I} \bigvee_{j \in J} T_{M/R}(a_i, [m], b_j); \\ (\mathrm{ii}) \; T_{M/R}(a, (\bigvee_{j \in J} [m_j]), b) = T_{M/R}(a, [\bigvee_{j \in J} m_j], b) = [T_M(a, (\bigvee_{j \in J} m_j), b)] = [\bigvee_{j \in J} T_M(a, m_j, b)] \\ = \bigvee_{j \in J} [T_M(a, m_j, b)] = \bigvee_{j \in J} T_{M/R}(a, [m_j], b); \\ (\mathrm{iii}) \; T_{M/R}(a \& b, [m], c \& d) = [T_M(a \& b, m, c \& d)] = [T_M(a, T_M(b, m, c), d)] \\ = T_{M/R}(a, [T_M(b, m, c)], d) = T_{M/R}(a, T_{M/R}(b, [m], c), d). \\ \text{Then } (M/R, T_{M/R}) \text{ is a Q-P quantale module.} \\ (4) \; \mathrm{For \; all } \{[m_i] \mid i \in I\} \subseteq M/R, \; a \in Q, b \in P, \; [m] \in M/R, \\ \pi(\bigvee_{i \in I} m_i) = [\bigvee_{i \in I} m_i] = \bigvee_{i \in I} [m_i] = \bigvee_{i \in I} \pi(m_i); \\ \pi(T_M(a, m, b)) = [T_M(a, m, b)] = T_{M/R}(a, [m], b) = T_{M/R}(a, \pi(m), b). \\ \mathrm{So} \; \pi : m \mapsto [m] : M \longrightarrow M/R \text{ is a Q-P quantale module homomorphisms.} \quad \Box \end{array}$$

**Theorem 3.4.** Let Q, P be a quantale, M a double quantale module, then  $\triangle = \{(x, x) \mid x \in M\}$  is a congrence of Q-P quantale module on M.

**Theorem 3.5.** Let Q, P be a quantale, M and N be Q-P quantale modules,  $f : M \longrightarrow N$  a Q-P quantale module homphorism, R a Q-P quantale module

congrence on N. Then  $f^{-1}(R) = \{(x, y) \in M \times M \mid (f(x), f(y)) \in R\}$  is a Q-P quantale module congrence on M.

**Theorem 3.6.** Let Q, P be a quantale, M and N are Q-P quantale modules,  $f: M \longrightarrow N$  be a Q-P quantale module homphorism. Then  $f^{-1}(\triangle) = \{(x, y) \in M \times M \mid f(x) = f(y)\}$  be a Q-P quantale module congrence on M, where  $\triangle = \{(a, a) \mid a \in N\}$ .

Let Q, P be a quantale, M be a Q-P quantale module,  $R \subseteq M \times M$ , since  $Con(_QM_P)$  is a complete lattice, there exists a smallest Q-P quantale congrence containing R, which is the intersection all the Q-P quantale module congrence containing R on M. We said that this congrence is generated by R.

**Theorem 3.7.** The category  $_{\mathbf{Q}}\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbf{P}}$  has coequalizer.



Proof. Let Q, P be a quantale,  $(M, T_M)$  and  $(N, T_N)$  be Q-P quantale modules, f and g be Q-P quantale module homomorphisms, Suppose R is the smallest congrence of the Q-P quantale modules on N, which contain  $\{(f(x), g(x)) \mid x \in M\}$ . Let  $E = N/R, \pi : N \longrightarrow N/R$  is the canonical mapping, then  $(N/R, T_{N/R})$  is a Q-P quantale module and  $\pi$  is a Q-P quantale module homomorphisms by theorem 3.3. We will prove that  $(\pi, E)$  is the coequalier of f and g. In fact,

(1)  $\pi \circ f = \pi \circ g$  is clear

(2) Let  $(E', T_{E'})$  be a Q-P quantale module,  $h: N \longrightarrow E'$  be a Q-P quantale module homomorphisms such that  $h \circ f = h \circ g$ . Let  $R_1 = h^{-1}(\Delta)$ , where  $\Delta = \{(x, x) \mid x \in E'\}$ . By theorem 3.5, we can see that  $R_1$  is a congrence of Q-P quantale module on N. Since h(f(x)) = h(g(x)) for all  $x \in M$ , then  $(f(x), g(x)) \in R_1$ . Define  $\overline{h}: N/R \longrightarrow E'$  such that  $\overline{h}([n]) = h(n)$  for all  $[n] \in Q/R$ . Let  $n_1, n_2 \in N$  and  $(n_1, n_2) \in R$ , then  $(n_1, n_2) \in R_1$ , and we have that  $h(n_1) = h(n_2)$ . Therefore  $\overline{h}$  is well defined.

For all 
$$\{[n_i] \mid i \in I\} \subseteq N/R$$
,  $a, b \in Q$ ,  $[n] \in N/R$ , we have that  
 $\overline{h}(\bigvee_{i \in I} [n_i]) = \overline{h}([\bigvee_{i \in I} n_i]) = h(\bigvee_{i \in I} n_i) = \bigvee_{i \in I} h(n_i) = \bigvee_{i \in I} \overline{h}([n_i]);$   
 $\overline{h}(T_{N/R}(a, [n], b)) = \overline{h}([T(a, n, b)]) = h(T(a, n, b)) = T_{E'}(a, \overline{h}([n]), b).$ 

Thus,  $\overline{h}$  is a Q-P quantale module, and  $\overline{h}$  is the unique homomorphism satisfy  $\overline{h} \circ \pi = h$ . Therefore  $(\pi, E)$  is the coequalizer of f and g.

From now until the end of Section 3, we suppose Q be a unital quantale with unit e. Let X be a nonempty set, we consider the complete lattice  $(Q^X, \bigvee^X)$ , where  $Q^X$  is the set of all the function from X to Q and  $(\bigvee_{i \in I}^X f_i)(x) = \bigvee_{i \in I} f_i(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ . **Theorem 3.8.** Let X be a nonempty set, and Q is idempotent and unital

**Theorem 3.8.** Let X be a nonempty set, and Q is idempotent and unital quantale with unit e, define  $T_X : Q \times Q^X \times Q \longrightarrow Q^X$  such that  $T_X(a, f, b)(x) = a\&f(x)\&b$ , for all  $a, b \in Q, f \in Q^X, x \in X$ . Then  $(Q^X, T_X)$  is the free double

quantale module generated by X, equipped with the map  $\varphi : x \in X \longmapsto \varphi_x \in Q^X$ , where  $\varphi_x$  is defined by  $\varphi_x(y) = \begin{cases} 0, & y \neq x, \\ e, & y = x. \end{cases}$  for all  $y \in X$ .

Proof. It's easy to prove that  $(Q^X, T_X)$  is a double quantale module. Let  $(M, T_M)$  be any double quantale module and  $g: X \longrightarrow M$  be an arbitrary map. First observe that for all  $f \in Q^X$ , Q be a unital quantale with unit e, hence  $f = T_X(e, f, e)$  by definition 2.2. So every elements of  $Q^X$  could denote by  $T_X(c, f, d)$  for some  $c, d \in Q, f \in Q^X$ . Define map  $h_g: Q^X \longrightarrow M$  such that  $h_g(T_X(c, f, d)) = \bigvee_{x \in X} T_M(c, T_M(f(x), g(x), f(x)), d)$ , for all  $T_X(c, f, d) \in Q^X$ ,  $c, d \in Q$ .

For all  $x' \in Z$ ,  $(h_g \circ \varphi)(x') = h_g(\varphi_{x'}) = \bigvee_{x \in X} T_M(\varphi_{x'}(x), g(x), \varphi_{x'}(x)) = T_M(e, g(x), e) = f(x)$ , hence  $h_g \circ \varphi = f$ . This implies that the following diagram commute.



We will prove that  $h_g$  is a Q-P quantale module homomorphism. For all  $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ ,  $a, b \in Q$ ,  $f \in Q^X$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{i})h_g(\bigvee_{i\in I} f_i) &= h_g(T_X(e,\bigvee_{i\in I} f_i,e) \\ &= \bigvee_{x\in X} T_M(e,T_M(\bigvee_{i\in I} f_i,g(x),\bigvee_{i\in I} f_i),e) \\ &= \bigvee_{x\in X} T_M(\bigvee_{i\in I} f_i,g(x),\bigvee_{i\in I} f_i) \\ &= \bigvee_{i\in I} \bigvee_{x\in X} T_M(f_i,g(x),f_i) \\ &= \bigvee_{i\in I} h_g(f_i); \end{aligned}$$

(ii)
$$h_g(T_X(a, f, b)) = \bigvee_{x \in X} T_M(a, T_M(f(x), g(x), f(x)), b)$$
  
=  $T_M(a, \bigvee_{x \in X} T_M(f(x), g(x), f(x)), b)$   
=  $T_M(a, h_g(f), b).$ 

Therefore,  $h_q$  is a Q-P quantale module homomorphism.

Next, we will prove that  $h_g$  is an unique Q-P quantale module homomorphism satisfying the above conditions.

Now, let  $h'_q: Q^X \longrightarrow M$  be another unique Q-P quantale module homomor-

phism such that  $h'_g \circ \varphi = g$ . For all  $T_X(c, f, d) \in Q^X$ , we have

$$\begin{split} h_g(T_X(c, f, d)) &= \bigvee_{x \in X} T_M(c, T_M(f(x), g(x), f(x)), d) \\ &= \bigvee_{x \in X} T_M(c, T_M(f(x), (h'_g \circ \varphi)(x), f(x)), d) \\ &= T_M(c, h'_g(\bigvee_{x \in X} T_X(f(x), \varphi_x, f(x))), d) \\ &= T_M(c, h'_g(f), d) \qquad (\bigvee_{x \in X} T_X(f(x), \varphi_x, f(x)) = f) \\ &= h'_g(T_X(c, f, d)). \end{split}$$

Therefore,  $(Q^X, T_X)$  is the free Q-P quantale module generated by X, equipped with the map  $\varphi$ .

**Definition 3.9.** Let X be a nonempty set, Q, P is unital quantale,  $(Q^X, T_X)$  is called *free Q-P quantale module* generated by X.

**Theorem 3.10.** The forgetfull functor  $U : {}_{\mathbf{Q}}\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbf{P}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Set}$  have a left adjoint.

*Proof.* Let X and Y be nonempty sets,  $(Q^X, T_X)$  and  $(Q^Y, T_Y)$  be the free Q-p quantale module generated by X and Y respectively.

Corresponding map  $f : X \longrightarrow Y$  defines  $M(f) : Q^X \longrightarrow Q^Y$  such that  $M(f)(g)(y) = \bigvee \{g(x) \mid f(x) = y, x \in X\}$ , for all g in  $Q^X, y \in Y$ . Obiviously, M(f) is well defined.

We check M(f) is a Q-p quantale module homomorphism. For all  $g_i, g \in Q^X, a \in Q, b \in P, y \in Y$  we have

$$(\mathbf{i})M(f)(\bigvee_{i\in I} g_i) = \bigvee \{\bigvee_{i\in I} g_i(x) \mid f(x) = y, x \in X\}$$
$$= \bigvee_{i\in I} (\bigvee \{g_i(x) \mid f(x) = y, x \in X\})$$
$$= \bigvee_{i\in I} M(f)(g_i)(y).$$

Thus M(f) preserves arbitrary joins.

(ii)
$$M(f)(T_X(a, g, b))(y) = \bigvee \{T_X(a, g, b)(x) \mid f(x) = y, x \in X\}$$
  

$$= \bigvee \{a\&g(x)\&b \mid f(x) = y, x \in X\}$$

$$= a\&(\bigvee \{g(x) \mid f(x) = y, x \in X\})\&b$$

$$= a\&(M(f)(g)(y))\&b$$

$$= T_Y(a, M(f)(g), b)(y).$$

Thus  $M(f)(T_X(a, g, b))(y) = T_Y(a, M(f)(g), b)(y)$ . It is readily verified that M(f) is a Q-P quantale module homomorphism.

Next, we will check that  $M : \mathbf{Set} \longrightarrow_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbf{P}}$  is a functor. Let  $f : X \longrightarrow Y, g : Y \longrightarrow Z, id_X$  is the identity function on X. For all  $h \in Q^X, x \in X, z \in Z$ , we have (i)  $M(id_X)(h)(x) = \bigvee \{h(x) \mid id_X(x) = x\} = h(x) = id_{Q^X}(h)(x)$ , it shows that M preserves identity function.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(ii)}(M(g) \circ M(f))(h)(z) &= \bigvee \{ M(f)(h)(y) \mid g(y) = z, y \in Y \} \\ &= \bigvee \{ \bigvee \{ h(x) \mid f(x) = y, x \in X \} \mid g(y) = z, y \in Y \} \\ &= \bigvee \{ h(x) \mid f(x) = y, g(y) = z, x \in X, y \in Y \} \\ &= \bigvee \{ h(x) \mid g(f(x)) = z, x \in X \} \\ &= M(g \circ f)(h)(z), \end{aligned}$$

then M preserves composition.

Finally, we will prove that M is the left adjoint of U.

By theorem 3.8, we have  $(Q^X, T_X)$  is the free Q-P quantale module generated by X, equipped with the map  $\varphi$ , therefore, M is the left adjoint of U.

**Theorem 3.11.** The forgetful functor  $U : {}_{\mathbf{Q}}\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbf{P}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Set}$  preserves and reflects regular epimorphisms.

*Proof.* It is easy to be verified that the forgetful functor U preserves regular epimorphisms. We will check the forgetful functor U reflects regular epimorphisms.

At first, every regular epimorphisms is a surjective homomorphism in  $_{\mathbf{Q}}\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbf{P}}$  by Theorem 3.7.

Next, we prove that every surjective homomorphism is a regular epimorphisms in  $_{\mathbf{O}}\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbf{P}}$ .

Let  $h: M_1 \longrightarrow M_2$  be a surjective Q-P quantale module homomorphism. Since the surjective morphism is an regular epimorphism in **Set**. Then h is a regular epimorphism in **Set**, there exists a set X and maps f, g such that  $(h, M_2)$  is a coequalizer of f and g.

Let  $(Q^X, T_X)$  be a Q-P quantale module generated by X. Since Q be a unital quantale with unit e, hence  $s = T_X(e, s, e)$  for all  $s \in Q^X$ .

Define map  $h_f, h_g: Q^X \longrightarrow M$  such that

$$h_f(T_X(a,s,b)) = \bigvee_{x \in X} T_{M_1}(a, T_{M_1}(s(x), f(x), s(x)), b).$$
$$h_g(T_X(a,s,b)) = \bigvee_{x \in X} T_{M_1}(a, T_{M_1}(s(x), g(x), s(x)), b),$$

for all  $T_X(a, s, b) \in Q^X$ ,  $s \in Q^X$ ,  $a, b \in Q$ .

We know that  $h_f$  and  $h_g$  are Q-P quantale module homomorphisms by theorem 3.8.

Since  $h_f$  is a Q-P quantale module homomorphism, and  $h \circ f = h \circ g$ , then  $h \circ h_f = h \circ h_g$ . Suppose there is a Q-P quantale module homomorphism  $h' : M_1 \longrightarrow M_2$  with  $h' \circ h_f = h' \circ h_g$ , then we have  $h' \circ f = h' \circ g$ .

Because  $(h, M_2)$  is the coequalizer of f and g, there is a unique Q-P quantale module homomorphism  $\overline{h}: M_2 \longrightarrow M_3$  such that  $h' = \overline{h} \circ h$ . Since h is a surjective of Q-P quantale module homomorphism, then there exists  $x', y' \in M_1$  and  $\{x'_i\}_{i \in I} \subseteq M_1$  such that  $h(x_1) = x, h(y_1) = y, h(x'_i) = x_i$ . We check that  $\overline{h}$  be a Q-P quantale module homomorphism in the following. (i)  $\overline{h}(\bigvee_{i\in I} x_i) = \overline{h}(\bigvee_{i\in I} h(x'_i)) = \overline{h}h(\bigvee_{i\in I} x'_i) = h'(\bigvee_{i\in I} x'_i) = \bigvee_{i\in I} h(x'_i) = \bigvee_{i\in I} \overline{h}h(x'_i) = \bigvee_{i\in I} \overline{h}(x_i),$ 

(ii) For any  $a \in Q, b \in P$ ,  $m \in M_2$ , since h is a surjective of double quantale module homomorphism, there exists m' in M such that h(m') = m.

So we have  $T_3(a, h(m), b) = T_3(a, h(h(m')), b) = T_3(a, h'(m'), b) = h'(T_1(a, m', b))$ =  $\overline{h}h(T_1(a, m', b)) = \overline{h}(T_2(a, h(m'), b) = \overline{h}(T_2(a, m, b)).$ 

Hence,  $(h, M_2)$  is an coequalizer of  $h_f$  and  $h_g$  in  $_{\mathbf{Q}}\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbf{P}}$ , so h is a regular epimorphism in  $_{\mathbf{Q}}\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbf{P}}$ . Therefore, the regular epimorphisms are precisely surjective homomorphisms in  $_{\mathbf{Q}}\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbf{P}}$ . Since the forgetfull functor  $U : _{\mathbf{Q}}\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbf{P}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ reflects surjective homomorphisms, hence  $U : _{\mathbf{Q}}\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbf{P}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Set}$  reflects regular epimorphisms.



The combination of theorem 3.7, theorem 3.10 and theorem 3.11, we can obtain the main result of this paper.

Theorem 3.12. The category <sub>Q</sub>Mod<sub>P</sub> is algebraic.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Mulvey, C. J. (1986). &. Suppl. Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo Ser., 12, 99–104.
- [2] Rosenthal, K. I. (1990). Quantales and their applications. London: Longman Scientific and Technical.
- [3] Nawaz, M. (1985). Quantales: quantale sets (Ph. D. Thesis). UK: University of Sussex.
- [4] Niefield, S., & Rosenthal, K. I. (1985). Strong De Morgan's law and the spectrum of a commutative ring. *Journal of Algebra*, 93, 169–181.
- [5] Girard, J. Y. (1987). Linear logic. Theoretical Computer Science, 50, 1–102.
- [6] Rosenthal, K. I. (1992). A general approach to Gabriel filters on quantales. *Communications in Algebra*, 20(11), 3393–3409.
- [7] Brown, C., & Gurr, D. (1993). A representation theorem for quantales. Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra, 85, 27–42.
- [8] Resende, P. (2001). Quantales, finite observations and strong bisimulation. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 254, 95–149.
- [9] Berni-Canani, U., Borceux, F., & Succi-Cruciani, R. (1989). A theory of quantale sets. Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra, 62, 123–136.
- [10] Sun, S. H. (1990). Remarks on quantic nuclei. Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., 108, 257–260.
- [11] Vermeulen, J. J. C. (1994). Proper maps of locales. Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra, 92, 79–107.

- [12] Miraglia, F., & Solitro, U. (1998). Sheaves over right sided idempotent quantales. Logic J. IGPL, 6(4), 545–600.
- [13] Coniglio, M. E., & Miraglia, F. (2001). Modules in the category of sheaves over quantales. Annals of Pure and Applied Logic, 108, 103–136.
- [14] Zhao, B., & Li, J. (2005). On nilpotent matrices over idempotent and rightsided quantale. Fuzzy Systems and Mathematics, 19(4), 1–6 (In Chinese).
- [15] Wang, S. Q., & Zhao B. (2005). Prequantale congruence and its properties. Advances in Mathematics, 4, 746–752 (In Chinese).
- [16] Liu, Z. B., & Zhao, B. (2006). Algebraic properties of category of quantale. Acta Mathematica Sinica, Chinese Series, 49(6), 1253–1258.
- [17] Zhou, Y. H., & Zhao, B. (2006). The free objects in the category of involutive quantales and its property of well-powered. *Chinese Journal of Engineering Mathematics*, 23(2), 216–224 (In Chinese).
- [18] Zhao, B., & Han, S. W. (2007). Researches on pre-Girard quantale and its properties. *Journal of Shaanxi Normal University (Natural Science Edition)*, 35(4), 1–4 (In Chinese).
- [19] Abramsky, S., & Vickers, S. (1993). Quantales, observational logic and process semantics. Math. Struct. Comput. Sci., 3, 161–227.
- [20] Kruml, D. (2002). Spatial quantales. Applied Categorial Structures, 10, 49– 62.
- [21] Resende, P. (2004). Sup-lattice 2-forms and quantales. Journal of Algebra, 276, 143–167.
- [22] Resende, P. (2002). Tropological systems are points of quantales. Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra, 173, 87–120.
- [23] Paseka, J. (2000). A note on Girard bimodules. International Journal of Theoretical Physics, 39(3), 805–812.
- [24] Paseka, J. (1999). Hilbert Q-modules and nuclear ideals in the category of ∨-semilattices with a duality. In CTCS'99: Conference on Category Theory and Computer Science (Edinburgh), Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1999, (Paper No. 29019), 19 pages. (electronic). MR 2001k: 0314
- [25] Paseka, J. (2001). Morita equivalence in the context of hilbert modules. In Proceedings of the Ninth Prague Topological Symposium Contribution papers from the symposium held in Prague, CzechRepublic, 19-25 August 2001 (pp. 223-251).
- [26] Herrilich, H., & Strecker, E. (1978). Category theory. Berlin: Heldermann Verlag.