

Hence, one can conclude that

$$\text{mod}_c(\{\mathcal{P}_k(v_n)\}) = 0, \quad k = 0, \dots, m. \tag{9}$$

Combining (5), (8) and (9), one has

$$\beta(\mathcal{D}) = 0,$$

which implies  $\mathcal{D}$  is a relatively compact subset of  $PC_T$ . Moreover,  $\mathcal{D}$  is compact because  $\mathcal{D}$  is closed and relatively compact.

Now, we verify that  $F$  is *u.s.c.* on  $\mathcal{D}$ . Since, we have that  $\mathcal{F}$  is quasi-compact. Let  $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$  be a sequence in  $\text{Gra}(\mathcal{F})$  such that

$$(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow (u, v) \text{ in } PC_T \times PC_T.$$

Then there exists a sequence  $\{f_n\} \subset L(J; X)$  such that  $f_n \in \text{Sel}_F(u_n)$  and  $v_n \in \mathcal{G}(f_n)$ . Observe that  $\text{Sel}_F$  is weakly *u.s.c.* with convex, weakly compact values due to Lemma 3.1. It follows from Lemma 2.2 that there exists  $f \in \text{Sel}_F(u)$  and a subsequence of  $\{f_n\}$ , still denoted by  $\{f_n\}$ , such that  $f_n \rightarrow f$  weakly in  $L(J; X)$ . Lemma 2.5 guarantees that  $v \in \mathcal{G}(f)$  and thus  $v \in \mathcal{F}(u)$ , which implies that  $\mathcal{F}$  is closed. Hence, it yields from Lemma 2.1 that  $\mathcal{F}$  is *u.s.c.* on  $\mathcal{D}$ .

$$\rho(t) = h(\lambda_1, v_1)(t) - h(\lambda_2, v_2)(t), \quad t \in J.$$

For  $t \in [-h, \lambda_1 T]$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\rho(t)\| &= \|v_1(t) - v_2(t)\| \\ &\leq \|v_1 - v_2\|_T. \end{aligned}$$

Also, for  $t \in (\lambda_1 T, \lambda_2 T]$ , it follows from (6) and (H4) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\rho(t)\| &= \|\tilde{u}(t; \lambda_1 T, v_1(\lambda_1 T)) - v_2(t)\| \\ &\leq M \|v_1 - v_2\|_T + 2MN_2 \int_{\lambda_1 T}^{\lambda_2 T} \eta(s) ds + 2M \sum_{\lambda_1 T \leq t_k < \lambda_2 T} c_k. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, in view of (H4), (H5) and the fact that  $f_1(t) = f_2(t)$  for  $t \in [\lambda_2 T, T]$ , we obtain that for  $t \in [\lambda_2 T, T]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\rho(t)\| &= \|\tilde{u}(t; \lambda_1 T, v_1(\lambda_1 T)) - \tilde{u}(t; \lambda_2 T, v_2(\lambda_2 T))\| \\ &\leq M \|T(\lambda_2 T - \lambda_1 T)v_1(\lambda_1 T) - v_2(\lambda_2 T)\| + MN_2 \int_{\lambda_1 T}^{\lambda_2 T} \eta(s) ds \\ &\quad + M \sum_{\lambda_1 T \leq t_k < \lambda_2 T} c_k + M \sum_{k=1}^m I_k \|\rho\|_T. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we conclude that for each  $t \in [-h, T]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\rho(t)\| &\leq M \|v_1 - v_2\|_T + M \|T(\lambda_2 T - \lambda_1 T)v_1(\lambda_1 T) - v_2(\lambda_2 T)\| \\ &\quad + 2MN_2 \int_{\lambda_1 T}^{\lambda_2 T} \eta(s) ds + 2M \sum_{\lambda_1 T \leq t_k < \lambda_2 T} c_k + M \sum_{k=1}^m I_k \|\rho\|_T \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} &M \|v_1 - v_2\|_T + M \|T(\lambda_2 T - \lambda_1 T)v_1(\lambda_1 T) - v_2(\lambda_2 T)\| \\ &\quad + 2MN_2 \int_{\lambda_1 T}^{\lambda_2 T} \eta(s) ds + 2M \sum_{\lambda_1 T \leq t_k < \lambda_2 T} c_k \\ &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \lambda_1 \rightarrow \lambda_2, v_1 \rightarrow v_2 \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we process to prove that  $F$  has contractible values. Let  $u \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $\hat{f} \in \text{Sel}_F(u)$ . Define a function  $h: [0, 1] \times \mathcal{F}(u) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(u)$  by

$$h(\lambda, v)(t) = \begin{cases} v(t), & t \in [-h, \lambda T], \\ \tilde{u}(t; \lambda T, v(\lambda T), \hat{f}), & t \in (\lambda T, T], \end{cases}$$

where  $\tilde{u}(t; \lambda T, v(\lambda T), \hat{f})$  is the unique mild solution of the following problem

$$\begin{cases} u'(t) - Au(t) = \hat{f}(t), & t \in [\lambda T, T], t \neq t_k, \\ u(t_k^+) = u(t_k) + I_k(u(t_k)), & k = 1, \dots, m, \\ u(\lambda T) = v(\lambda T). \end{cases}$$

Clearly,  $h$  is well defined, and for every  $v \in \mathcal{F}(u)$ ,

$$h(0, v) = \mathcal{G}(\hat{f}) \text{ and } h(1, v) = v.$$

Below, we verify that  $h$  is continuous. Let  $(\lambda_i, v_i) \in [0, 1] \times \mathcal{F}(u)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , with  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2$ , one can choose  $f_i \in \text{Sel}_F(u)$  such that  $h(\lambda_i, v_i) = \mathcal{G}(f_i)$  and  $f_i(t) = f(t)$  for all  $t \in [\lambda_i T, T]$ . Write,