

## An Empirical Study on the Volatility of Public Opinion on Coal Mine Safety Accidents

## LI Songhua<sup>[a],\*</sup>

<sup>[a]</sup>School of Management and Economics, North China University of Water Resources & Electric Power, Zhengzhou, China. \*Corresponding author.

**Supported by** National Natural Science Foundation of China (71573086); the Philosophy and Social Sciences Innovation Team Support Projects for Colleges and Universities of Henan Province (2014-CXTD-10).

Received 8 January 2016; accepted 11 April 2016 Published online 26 June 2016

### Abstract

This paper empirically studies the volatility of public opinion evolution on coal mine safety accidents based on weekly average data of coal mine accidents from January 2011 to May 2014 in Baidu search index. The findings are as follows: The volatility of public opinion evolution of coal mine safety accidents shows some characteristics such as aggregation, ARCH effect. And, the estimation of a GARCH model shows that public opinion evolution of coal mine safety accidents has conditional heteroscedasticity character, and this GARCH model successfully portrays the volatility of the public opinion on coal mine safety accidents.

**Key words:** Coal mine safety; Public opinion; Volatility; GARCH model

Li, S. H. (2016). An Empirical Study on the Volatility of Public Opinion on Coal Mine Safety Accidents. *Management Science and Engineering*, 10(2), 1-4. Available from: URL: http://www.cscanada.net/index.php/mse/article/view/8297 DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.3968/8297

### INTRODUCTION

"Public Opinion" indicates that how does the public focus on some incidents. The news is spread at first,

and then being lack of interested makes us believe that the characteristics of its transforming processes are in a significant cyclic way. It can also be used in coal mine safety accidents, with characteristics of aggregation and asymmetry. In order to successfully depict the volatility features of public opinion, we need to employ GARCH models.

For about using the model GARCH to study the volatility of stock market, they fitted the volatility of each share such as Zakoian (1994), Basel and Valentina (2005) and believe that there is an asymmetry of the share volatility. Sabiruzza-Man (2010) compared the accuracy of the share volatility between GARCH and the TGARCH based on Hong Kong stock market index. In their point of view, the TARCH is superior to the GARCH in the asymmetry effect of the share volatility. Liu and Huang (2010) analyzed the accuracy of the prediction of volatility functions by using GARCH disturbing terms in different distributions.

Furthermore, there are so many scholars in China analyze the volatility in Chinese stock market by using models such as GARCH, such as Yue (2001), Chen and Zhou (2002), Liu and Cui (2001). They empirically tested the GARCH effect of the share volatility in Chinese stock market. Gu and Cen (2011) compared the two models, which are the GARCH and the TARCH about describing the volatility and its asymmetry effect to measure and predict the Chinese stock market, and the result turned out to the GARCH is superior. Zhang, Jinlin and He (2012) inspected the ARCH effect of the volatility of main board market of Shanghai and Shenzhen in China Growth Enterprise Market. Yang and Liu (2012) analyzed how domestic Macroeconomic policy factors effects its volatility and asymmetry effect in those two main Chinese stock markets.

# 1. DATA AND VARIABLE STATIONARY TEST

### 1.1 Data

Public opinion representation index comes from all kinds of reports by various media departments. In this paper, based on the data availability, we have chosen the Baidu search index as proxies of coal mine safety accidents, which expressed by  $SI_t$ . The sample length

is from 2011-01-01 to 2014-05-16, the data frequency is average weekly. The evolving of public opinion of coal mine safety accidents not only has the general characteristic of the normal accidents but also appears cyclically. When the accident happens, the public would focus on it by the web click and search, and it leads to public consensus fluctuate, which shows volatility like higher in some time but somehow lower in the next period, so there is an aggregation in such situation (Figure 1).



### Figure 1

### Rate of the Baidu Search Index of Coal Mine Incidents 1.2 Variable Stationary Test

The data we use to establish a model should be stable to make sure its accuracy. So, in order to avoid drawing spurious conclusions, we need to do stationarity test for the series. Table.1 shows the stationarity test result of the series of coal mine incidents search index: The probability of the null hypothesis that the series has a unit root is 0.00, less than the significance level of 1%, thus, reject the null hypothesis. So the series ( $SI_t$ ) is I(0) process.

# Table 1Stationary Test of Series

Variable	Test form $(c,t,n)$	ADF Test value	1% Critical value	р	Conclusion		
$SI_t$	( <i>c</i> ,0,0)	-6.236	-3.468	0.000	Stationary		
SI-120 207+0 627SI +a							

$$SI_t = 129.297 + 0.637SI_{t-1} + e_t$$
  
[5.728] [10.963]

R

2

The t test value of the regression parameters in Equation (1) (numbers in square brackets) shows that the two regression parameters are significant. In addition, the residual series of Equation (1) presents obvious aggregation, shows that the ARCH effect which is

$$\hat{u}_{i}^{2} = 11489.89 + 0.221 u_{i-1}^{2} + \varepsilon_{i}$$
  
(4.294) (2.969)

# So we have Lagrange Multiplier Statistics: does $LM=TR^2=8.48$ , and T is the number of sample observation, and $R^2$ is the goodness of fit by given draw Equation (2). Because the *P*-value of accepting the null is the

# 2. ARCH EFFECT TEST OF THE PUBLIC OPINION EVOLUTION OF COAL MINE INCIDENTS

### 2.1 ARCH Effect Test

We established ARCH models to grasp its auto-regression character of the public opinion evolution of coal mine safety accidents. Due to the partial autocorrelation coefficient PAC truncated when the lag order is 1, so that the auto-regression order of the conditional mean's equation of public opinion of coal mine safety incidents is chosen by 1. Correspondingly, the function of the conditional mean equation of the public opinion evolution of coal mine safety accidents estimated by OLS (Ordinary Least Squares) method is as below:

$$^{2}$$
=0.4099, Log likelihood=-1087.867. (1)

conditional heteroskedasticity may exist, the test can be conducted through the Lagrange multiplier test. The auxiliary regression of ARCH LM test is given by Equation (2) as below:

(2)

### $R^2 = 0.049$ , Loglikelihood = -2051.896

does not exist is 0.0036, and based on the principle of "Small probability events is not easy to happen", we can draw a conclusion of rejecting the null hypothesis, that is the ARCH effect exist in the residual of the regressive Equation (1).

hypothesis which means conditional heteroscedasticity

### 2.2 GARCH Model Estimation

In order to depict better the characteristics of the public opinion evolution on coal mine safety accidents such as

#### Table 2 **GARCH Models Selection**

clustering and conditional heteroscedasticity, this part is going to employ GARCH model to make an empirical analysis.

	GARCH (1,1)	GARCH (1,2)	GARCH (2,1)	GARCH (2,2)
Loglikelihood	-1073.630	-1075.076	-1073.857	-1083.747
AIC	12.335	12.355	12.341	12.466
SC	12.440	12.463	12.450	12.592
$R^2$	0.3979	0.3935	0.3998	0.3536

The optimal selection rule of GARCH models is the model's logarithmic likelihood value is bigger and both AIC and SC information criterion are smaller, and in the meanwhile parameters are significant. From Table 2 above, we know that the optimal model would be GARCH (1,1), and the estimation result is as below:

 $SI_t = 65.867 + 0.791SI_{t-1} + \hat{u}_t$ (3.056) (12.418)  $\hat{\sigma}_{t}^{2} = 740.213 + 0.214 u_{t-1}^{2} + 0.780 \sigma_{t-1}^{2}$ , (1.990) (3.908) (19.779) $R^2 = 0.3879$ , Loglikelihood = -1073.63, AIC = 12.335, SC = 12.440.

(3)

Compared with the Equation (1) mentioned above, the mean equation has larger logarithmic likelihood value and smaller the AIC and SC in Equation (3) of GARCH(1,1), in addition, the three parameters of the conditional variance equation in Equation (3) are positive and statistically significant, therefore, the GARCH(1,1) model is more reasonably estimated and has a better performance. From Figure 2, we may find out that, the GARCH(1,1) model successfully portrayed and grasped the mutation and mutation strength of the public opinion evolution of the coal mine safety accidents, therefore, the GARCH(1,1) model successfully describes the characters of the public opinion evolution of the coal mine safety accidents, which can provide precondition for coal mine safety accidents early warning information.

In addition, the GARCH(1,1) model overcame the conditional heteroscedasticity in the auto-regressive



Figure 2 Description of GARCH (1,1) on Public Opinion **Evolution of Coal Mine Accidents** 

residual series of the coal mine safety accident search index: P-value of ARCH LM test accepting the null hypothesis of none conditional heteroscedasticity is 0.8286 (in Table 3), highly degree of confidence, and the autocorrelation coefficient AC and partial autocorrelation coefficient PAC both are approximate to zero, the probabilities of the *Q* statistics insignificance are almost bigger than 0.827 (in Figure 3).

### Table 3

ARCH LM Test of the GARCH (1,1) Model

ARCH LM test					
F-statistic	0.0463	Prob. F (1,172)	0.8299		
Obs*R-squared	0.0468	Prob. Chi-Square (1)	0.8286		

Autocorrelation     Partial correlation     AC     PAC     Q-stat     Product       I     I     I     I     -0.016     -0.016     0.0478     0.8						
	Autocorrelation	Partial correlation	AC	PAC	Q-stat	Prob
I       I       I       I       2       -0.007       0.007       0.0568       0.9         I       I       I       I       I       I       3       -0.054       0.055       0.5902       0.8         I       I       I       I       I       I       I       4       -0.016       -0.014       0.6338       0.9         I       I       I       I       I       I       5       -0.046       -0.047       1.0195       0.9         I       I       I       I       I       6       -0.056       -0.061       1.5994       0.9         I       I       I       I       I       7       -0.006       -0.006       1.6071       0.9         I       I       I       I       I       9       0.039       0.043       2.2766       0.9         I       I       I       I       I       10       -0.047       -0.048       2.6832       0			$ \begin{vmatrix} 1 & -0.016 \\ 2 & -0.007 \\ 3 & -0.054 \\ 4 & -0.016 \\ 5 & -0.046 \\ 6 & -0.056 \\ 7 & -0.006 \\ 8 & -0.045 \\ 9 & 0.039 \\ 10 & -0.047 \end{vmatrix} $	-0.016 0.007 0.055 -0.014 -0.047 -0.061 -0.006 -0.040 0.043 -0.048	0.0478 0.0568 0.5902 0.6338 1.0195 1.5994 1.6071 1.9891 2.2766 2.6832	0.827 0.972 0.899 0.959 0.961 0.953 0.978 0.981 0.986 0.988

Figure 3

**Residual Square Correlation Diagram of the GARCH (1,1) Model** 

### CONCLUSION

This paper empirically testifies the characteristics of public opinions on coal mine accidents based on Baidu search index by weekly data and we find out that:

(a) The public opinion evolution on coal mine safety accidents shows aggregation characteristics by analyzing the variation of Baid search index.

(b) The public opinion evolution on coal mine safety accidents shows conditional autoregressive characteristics. We test the residual of a first-order autoregressive model, and found that conditional heteroscedasticity exists, namely the public opinion evolution on coal mine safety incidents has ARCH effect.

(c) The GARCH (1,1) model successfully portrays the volatility of the public opinion on coal mine safety accidents, and conquered the conditional heteroscedasticity in the auto-regressive residual series of the coal mine safety accident search index.

### REFERENCES

- Basel, M. A., & Valentina Corradi, V. (2005). Predicting the volatility of the S&P -500 stock index via GARCH Models: The role of asymmetries. *International Journal of Forecasting*, (1), 167-183.
- Chen, Q. L., & Shao, F. C. (2002). Study on the returns volatility of Shanghai stock index. *The Journal of Quantitative & Technical Economics*, (6), 122-125.

- Gu, F. J., & Cen, Z. D. (2011). Study on the volatility of Chinese Shanghai and Shenzhen stock markets with GARCH and SV Models. *Journal of Mathematics in Practice and Theory*, (1), 4-22.
- Liu, H.-C., & Hung, J.-C. (2010). Forecasting S&P-100 stock index volatility: The role of volatility asymmetry and distributional assumption in GARCH Models. *Expert Systems with Applications*, (7), 4928-4934.
- Liu, J. Q., & Chang, C. (2002). An empirical study on the returns and volatility of Chinese Shanghai and Shenzhen stock markets. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, (1), 885-898.
- Sabiruzza-Man, M. D., et al. (2010). Modeling and forecasting trading volume index: GARCH versus TGARCH approach. *The Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance*, (2), 141-145.
- Yang, C. H., & Liu, X. M. (2012). Study on the Influence of Macroeconomic informations on the volatility of Shanghai and Shenzhen 300 Index. *Journal of Shanxi University of Finance and Economics*, (3), 38-44.
- Yue, C. L. (2002). An empirical study on the Shanghai Stock market yields with GARCH models. *The Journal of Quantitative & Technical Economics*, (4), 56-59.
- Zakoian, J. M. (1994). Threshold heteroskedastic models. Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control, (18), 931-955.
- Zhang, J. L., & He, Q. G. (2012). Study on the time-varying linkage and volatility spillover of China's gem and main board markets. *Journal of Zhongnan University of Economics and Law*, (2), 100-106.

4