

## Social Anxiety Symptoms Among Students With Learning Disabilities in Jordan

## Ali AlFauri<sup>[a],\*</sup>

<sup>[a]</sup> Ph. D., The Ministry of Education, Amman, Jordan. \*Corresponding author.

Received 22 October 2021; accepted 29 September 2021 Published online 26 October 2021

## Abstract

The current study aimed to describe the symptoms of social anxiety symptoms among students with learning disabilities in Jordan. The study included 120 students with learning disabilities, 60 males and 60 females. To achieve the aim of the study, the researcher constructed a scale of social anxiety symptoms and achieved its validity and reliability coefficients. The results indicated that the means ranged between (3.08-2.05), where emotional symptoms came in the first place with the highest mean of (3.08), while Physiological symptoms came in the last place with an means of (2.05), and the mean of the level of social anxiety among the Students with learning disabilities as a whole (2.73). There were no statistically significant differences ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ) due to the effect of gender in all fields and in the total degree. And there were statistically significant differences ( $\alpha$ = 0.05) between the fifth grade on the one hand and the fourth, sixth and seventh grades on the other hand, and the differences came in favor of the fifth grade in physiological symptoms. Also, there were statistically significant differences ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ) between the seventh and sixth grades, and the differences were in favor of the sixth grade in cognitive symptoms. In addition, there were statistically significant differences ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ) between the seventh and fifth grades, and the differences were in favor of the fifth grade in the total score. The study recommended the need to provide remedial services for this category of students to enable them to overcome their psychological challenges.

**Key words:** Social anxiety symptoms; Students with learning disabilities; Jordan

AlFauri, A. (2021). Social Anxiety Symptoms Among Students With Learning Disabilities in Jordan. *Canadian Social Science*, 17(5), 39-44. Available from: http:// www.cscanada.net/index.php/css/article/view/12301 DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.3968/12301

## INTRODUCTION

Learning disabilities are a group of neurological problems that affect the organization, storage, or use of information. Learning difficulties affected information acquiring and entering into the brain, understanding this information, storing and retrieving it. Therefore, learning disabilities are a lifelong condition that affects people differently depending on the situation (Integra, 2009). Learning disabilities are described by the discrepancy between a student's ability and achievement in areas such as reading, writing, mathematics, or speaking. Students with learning disabilities are characterized by a variety of characteristics that include problems with reading comprehension, spoken language, writing and thinking, hyperactivity, distractibility, and problems with perceptual coordination. In addition to underachievement compared to peers in the class, impulsivity, frustration, and difficulties in communication and daily social interactions (naset. org. 2021). Some people with learning disabilities have difficulty interpreting and understanding the meanings of facial expressions, understanding body language or role taking in conversations (Integra, 2009).

Social anxiety disorder is a type of anxiety disorder that causes intense fear in social settings. People with this disorder show a range of cognitive, social and behavioral symptoms that negatively affect communication and interaction with people in the surrounding environment. Social anxiety affects one's ability to work, attend school, and develop and acquire social and personal relationships with others (Healthline, 2021). Humans experience social anxiety to different degrees and in different areas. At school, this can be a barrier to learning. School is a social place and experiencing anxiety around peers can be challenging, especially if a student also has a learning disability. Social anxiety problems are often associated with learning difficulties. However, the symptoms of social anxiety negatively affect social performance, and this effect is also reflected significantly on academic achievement. Therefore, it constitutes an obstacle to school and academic adjustment (Cowden, 2010). Marwood and Hewitt (2013) explained that people with learning disabilities show increased levels of psychological anxiety compared to the average of normal person. Despite of that, they often face a lack of social support and poor coping techniques to deal with their psychological problems. The results of a study carried out by Mammarella, Ghisi, Bomba, Bottesi, Caviola, Broggi, and Nacinovich (2016) indicated that children with nonverbal learning difficulties suffer from social anxiety and have more intense anxiety about school. While a study of Devine, Hill, Carey, and Szucs (2018) found that children with developmental dyscalculia were twice as likely to have high sports anxiety as children with typical athletic performance. Wang, Li, and Chung (2021) studied the role of metacognition in the relationship between test anxiety and literacy difficulties among typically developing Chinese adolescents as well as those identified as having specific learning difficulties. The study recommended the importance of encouraging teachers to take into account students' anxiety about testing and metacognition when preparing their educational materials. On the other hand, Marwood, and Hewitt (2013) indicated that the intervention was successful in treating anxiety for people with learning difficulties.

Thus, it seems clear that students with learning difficulties show various levels of symptoms of social anxiety, and this negatively affects achieving optimal psychological and school adjustment, as well as achievement. In the current study, the researcher seeks to study the symptoms of social anxiety among a sample of students with learning difficulties in Jordan.

## STUDY PROBLEM AND QUESTIONS

Students with learning disabilities suffering from lack of the necessary social skills to adapt to their environment. These traits in a person may cause a student to develop social anxiety. Therefore, it is important to identify the levels of social anxiety in this group of students and help them overcome their fears and succeed in their schooling or education (Cowden, 2010). The current study seeks to answer the following questions:

• What are the levels of social anxiety symptoms among students with learning difficulties in Jordan?

• Do the levels of social anxiety symptoms among students with learning difficulties in Jordan differ according to gender?

• Do the levels of social anxiety symptoms among students with learning difficulties in Jordan differ according to grade?

## **RESEARCH METHODOLOGY**

The current study is a descriptive survey that aims to measure the social anxiety symptoms among students with learning disabilities in Jordan.

## The Study Sample

The study sample consisted of 120 students, 60 males and 60 females. The following table shows the variables of the study sample.

| Frequencies and variables | percentages | according t | to the study | y |
|---------------------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|---|
|---------------------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|---|

|        |               | Frequency | Percent |
|--------|---------------|-----------|---------|
| Gender | Male          | 60        | 50.0    |
|        | female        | 60        | 50.0    |
|        | fourth grade  | 30        | 25.0    |
|        | fifth grade   | 30        | 25.0    |
| Class  | Sixth grade   | 30        | 25.0    |
|        | Seventh grade | 30        | 25.0    |
|        | Total         | 120       | 100.0   |

## **Study Tool**

To achieve the goal of the study, the researcher constructed a social anxiety symptoms scale with reference to studies such as Mattick and Clarke (1998), Nunes, Ayala-Nunesb, Pechorroc, and Greca, A. (2018), and Ankrom (2021). The scale consisted of 20 items divided into four dimensions: physiological symptoms domain which includes 6 items, social symptoms domain that consists of 4 items, emotional symptoms domain which consists of 5 items, and finally, cognitive symptoms domain that contains 5 items.

#### Statistical Standard

The Quadruple Likert scale was adopted to correct the study tools, by giving each of its items one degree out of its four degrees (strongly agree, agree, neutral, disagree) and they were represented digitally (4, 3, 2, 1) respectively, and the scale was adopted the following for the purposes of analyzing the results:

From 1.00-2.00 a low

From 2.01-3.00 medium

From 3.01-4.00 high

The scale was calculated by using the following equation:

(The upper limit of the scale (4) - the lower limit of the scale (1)) / The number of required categories (3.(

(4-1)/3=1.00

And then add the answer (1.00) to the end of each category.

## **Construct Validity of the Study Tool**

In order to extract the construct validity of the scale, the correlation coefficients of each item and the total score, and between each item and its correlation with the domain to which it belongs, and between the domains with each other and the total score, were extracted in a survey sample from outside the study sample that consisted of (30), and the items' correlation coefficients ranged with the tool. As a whole it is between (0.38-0.69), and with the range (0.44-0.91). It should be noted that all correlation coefficients were of acceptable and statistically significant degrees, and therefore none of these items were deleted.

#### **Reliability of the Study Tool**

To ensure the reliability of the study tool, the testretest method was verified by applying the scale, and reapplying it after two weeks on a group from outside the study sample consisting of (30), and then the Pearson correlation coefficient was calculated between their estimates in both times.

The stability coefficient was also calculated by the internal consistency method according to Cronbach's alpha equation, and Table No. (2) shows the internal consistency coefficient according to Cronbach's alpha equation and the repetition of the fields and the total score and these values were considered appropriate for the purposes of this study.

#### Table 2

Table 4

Cronbach's alpha internal consistency coefficient and the test-retest reliability of the domains and the total score

| Domains                | Test-retest<br>reliability | Cronbach's alpha internal consistency |
|------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Physiological symptoms | 0.89                       | 0.79                                  |
| Emotional symptoms     | 0.86                       | 0,79                                  |
| Social symptoms        | 0.86                       | 0.83                                  |
| Cognitive symptoms     | 0.88                       | 0.81                                  |
| Total score            | 0.90                       | 0.87                                  |

## RESULTS

This part of the study shows the results organized according to its questions.

# • What are the levels of social anxiety symptoms among students with learning difficulties in Jordan?

To answer this question, the means and standard deviations of the level of social anxiety symptoms among students with learning difficulties were extracted, and the table below illustrates this.

#### Table 3

Means and standard deviations of the level of social anxiety among students with learning disabilities, arranged in descending order according to the means

|      | 0 |                        |      | 0              |        |
|------|---|------------------------|------|----------------|--------|
| Rank | Ν | domains                | Mean | Std. Deviation | degree |
| 1    | 3 | emotional symptoms     | 3.08 | .598           | high   |
| 2    | 4 | Cognitive symptoms     | 3.03 | .507           | high   |
| 3    | 2 | social symptoms        | 2.93 | .591           | medium |
| 4    | 1 | Physiological symptoms | 2.05 | .651           | medium |
|      |   | Total Score            | 2.73 | .443           | medium |

Table 3 shows that the means ranged between (3.08-2.05), where emotional symptoms came in the first place with the highest means of (3.08), while Physiological symptoms came in the last rank with an means of (2.05), and the means of the level of Social anxiety among students with learning difficulties as a whole (2.73).

#### • Do the levels of social anxiety symptoms among students with learning difficulties in Jordan differ according to gender?

To answer this question, the arithmetic averages and standard deviations of the level of social anxiety among students with learning difficulties were extracted according to the gender variable, and to indicate the statistical differences between the arithmetic averages, a "t" test was used, and the table below illustrates this.

Means, standard deviations, and t-test for the effect of gender on the level of social anxiety among students with learning difficulties

|                    | Sex    | Ν  | Mean | Std. Deviation | t           | df  | Sig. (2-tailed) |  |
|--------------------|--------|----|------|----------------|-------------|-----|-----------------|--|
| Physiological      | Male   | 60 | 2.13 | .684           | 1.385       | 118 | .169            |  |
| symptoms           | female | 60 | 1.96 | .611           | 1.365       | 110 | .109            |  |
| Cosial armentana   | Male   | 60 | 3.00 | .608           | 1.396       | 110 | .165            |  |
| Social symptoms    | female | 60 | 2.85 | .568           | 1.390       | 118 | .103            |  |
| Emotional          | Male   | 60 | 3.03 | .633           | 046         | 110 | .346            |  |
| symptoms           | female | 60 | 3.13 | .562           | 946         | 118 | .340            |  |
| Cognitive symptoms | Male   | 60 | 3.00 | .560           | <b>57</b> 4 | 118 |                 |  |
|                    | female | 60 | 3.05 | .452           | 574         |     | .567            |  |
| T- 4-1             | Male   | 60 | 2.75 | .503           | 402         | 110 | (22             |  |
| Total              | female | 60 | 2.71 | .376           | .493        | 118 | .623            |  |

It is clear from the Table 4 that there are no statistically significant differences ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ) due to the effect of gender in all fields and in the total score.

## according to grade?

of To answer this question, the means and standard deviations of the level of social anxiety symptoms among students with learning difficulties were extracted according to the grade variable, and the table below illustrates this.

• Do the levels of social anxiety symptoms among students with learning difficulties in Jordan differ

#### Copyright © Canadian Academy of Oriental and Occidental Culture

### Table 5

| Means and standard deviations of the level of social |
|--|
| anxiety among students with learning difficulties    |
| according to the grade variable                      |

|                        |               | Ν   | Mean | Std.<br>Deviation |
|------------------------|---------------|-----|------|-------------------|
|                        | fourth grade  | 30  | 1.84 | .524              |
| D1 · 1 · 1             | fifth grade   | 30  | 2.44 | .697              |
| Physiological symptoms | Sixth grade   | 30  | 1.95 | .705              |
| symptoms               | Seventh grade | 30  | 1.95 | .503              |
|                        | Total         | 120 | 2.05 | .651              |
|                        | fourth grade  | 30  | 2.90 | .571              |
| a                      | fifth grade   | 30  | 3.12 | .691              |
| Social symptoms        | Sixth grade   | 30  | 2.98 | .512              |
| symptoms               | Seventh grade | 30  | 2.72 | .528              |
|                        | Total         | 120 | 2.93 | .591              |
|                        | fourth grade  | 30  | 3.00 | .603              |
| <b>D</b> 1             | fifth grade   | 30  | 3.19 | .610              |
| Emotional symptoms     | Sixth grade   | 30  | 3.24 | .513              |
| symptoms               | Seventh grade | 30  | 2.90 | .625              |
|                        | Total         | 120 | 3.08 | .598              |
|                        | fourth grade  | 30  | 3.09 | .419              |
| G                      | fifth grade   | 30  | 3.09 | .469              |
| Cognitive<br>symptoms  | Sixth grade   | 30  | 3.17 | .433              |
| symptoms               | Seventh grade | 30  | 2.76 | .609              |
|                        | Total         | 120 | 3.03 | .507              |
|                        | fourth grade  | 30  | 2.66 | .380              |
|                        | fifth grade   | 30  | 2.93 | .446              |
| Total                  | Sixth grade   | 30  | 2.78 | .412              |
|                        | Seventh grade | 30  | 2.54 | .455              |
|                        | Total         | 120 | 2.73 | .443              |

|                    |                  | Ν   | Mean | Std.<br>Deviation | F     | Sig. |
|--------------------|------------------|-----|------|-------------------|-------|------|
|                    | fourth<br>grade  | 30  | 3.00 | .603              |       |      |
|                    | fifth<br>grade   | 30  | 3.19 | .610              |       |      |
| Emotional symptoms | Sixth<br>grade   | 30  | 3.24 | .513              | 2.179 | .094 |
|                    | Seventh grade    | 30  | 2.90 | .625              |       |      |
|                    | Total            | 120 | 3.08 | .598              |       |      |
|                    | fourth<br>grade  | 30  | 3.09 | .419              |       |      |
| Comitivo           | fifth<br>grade   | 30  | 3.09 | .469              |       |      |
| Cognitive symptoms | Sixth<br>grade   | 30  | 3.17 | .433              | 4.140 | .008 |
|                    | Seventh<br>grade | 30  | 2.76 | .609              |       |      |
|                    | Total            | 120 | 3.03 | .507              |       |      |
|                    | fourth<br>grade  | 30  | 2.66 | .380              |       |      |
|                    | fifth<br>grade   | 30  | 2.93 | .446              |       |      |
| Total              | Sixth<br>grade   | 30  | 2.78 | .412              | 4.518 | .005 |
|                    | Seventh grade    | 30  | 2.54 | .455              |       |      |
|                    | Total            | 120 | 2.73 | .443              |       |      |

Continued

It is evident from the Table 6 that there are statistically significant differences at the level of significance ( $\alpha$ =0.05) due to the effect of the class in all areas and in the tool as a whole except for social symptoms, and emotional symptoms. As shown in the Table 7.

The Table 5 shows an apparent discrepancy in the means and standard deviations of the level of social anxiety symptoms among students with learning difficulties due to the different categories of the grade variable.

Table 6 ANOVA analysis of the effect of class on the level of social anxiety symptoms among students with learning disabilities

|                        |                 | N   | Mean | Std.<br>Deviation | F     | Sig. |
|------------------------|-----------------|-----|------|-------------------|-------|------|
|                        | fourth<br>grade | 30  | 1.84 | .524              |       |      |
|                        | fifth<br>grade  | 30  | 2.44 | .697              |       |      |
| Physiological symptoms | Sixth<br>grade  | 30  | 1.95 | .705              | 5.831 | .001 |
|                        | Seventh grade   | 30  | 1.95 | .503              |       |      |
|                        | Total           | 120 | 2.05 | .651              |       |      |
|                        | fourth<br>grade | 30  | 2.90 | .571              |       |      |
|                        | fifth<br>grade  | 30  | 3.12 | .691              |       |      |
| Social<br>symptoms     | Sixth<br>grade  | 30  | 2.98 | .512              | 2.501 | .063 |
|                        | Seventh grade   | 30  | 2.72 | .528              |       |      |
|                        | Total           | 120 | 2.93 | .591              |       |      |

To be continued

Table 7

|         |          |           |         |       | e effect of |      |
|---------|----------|-----------|---------|-------|-------------|------|
| on the  | level    | of social | anxiety | among | students    | with |
| learnin | g diffic | ulties    | •       | 0     |             |      |

| Dependent<br>Variable | (I) class       | (J) class       | Mean<br>Difference<br>(I-J) | Std.<br>Error | Sig.  |
|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|---------------|-------|
|                       |                 | fifth grade     | 606*                        | .159          | .003  |
|                       | fourth<br>grade | Sixth<br>grade  | 111                         | .159          | .921  |
|                       | Brade           | Seventh grade   | 111                         | .159          | .921  |
|                       |                 | fourth<br>grade | .606*                       | .159          | .003  |
|                       | fifth grade     | Sixth<br>grade  | .494*                       | .159          | .025  |
| Physiological         |                 | Seventh grade   | .494*                       | .159          | .025  |
| symptoms              | Sixth<br>grade  | fourth<br>grade | .111                        | .159          | .921  |
|                       |                 | fifth grade     | 494*                        | .159          | .025  |
|                       |                 | Seventh grade   | .000                        | .159          | 1.000 |
|                       | C               | fourth<br>grade | .111                        | .159          | .921  |
|                       | Seventh grade   | fifth grade     | 494*                        | .159          | .025  |
|                       | grade           | Sixth<br>grade  | .000                        | .159          | 1.000 |

To be continued

|                       |                  |                  |                             | Cont          | inued |
|-----------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|-------|
| Dependent<br>Variable | (I) class        | (J) class        | Mean<br>Difference<br>(I-J) | Std.<br>Error | Sig.  |
|                       |                  | fifth grade      | .007                        | .126          | 1.000 |
|                       | fourth<br>grade  | Sixth<br>grade   | 073                         | .126          | .953  |
|                       |                  | Seventh grade    | .333                        | .126          | .078  |
|                       |                  | fourth<br>grade  | 007                         | .126          | 1.000 |
|                       | fifth grade      | Sixth<br>grade   | 080                         | .126          | .940  |
| Cognitive symptoms    |                  | Seventh grade    | .327                        | .126          | .088  |
| symptoms              | Sixth            | fourth<br>grade  | .073                        | .126          | .953  |
|                       | grade            | fifth grade      | .080                        | .126          | .940  |
|                       |                  | Seventh grade    | .407*                       | .126          | .019  |
|                       | Seventh<br>grade | fourth<br>grade  | 333                         | .126          | .078  |
|                       |                  | fifth grade      | 327                         | .126          | .088  |
|                       |                  | Sixth<br>grade   | 407*                        | .126          | .019  |
|                       |                  | fifth grade      | 270                         | .110          | .114  |
|                       | fourth<br>grade  | Sixth<br>grade   | 128                         | .110          | .712  |
|                       |                  | Seventh grade    | .112                        | .110          | .792  |
| Total Score           |                  | fourth<br>grade  | .270                        | .110          | .114  |
|                       | fifth grade      | Sixth<br>grade   | .142                        | .110          | .644  |
|                       |                  | Seventh<br>grade | .382*                       | .110          | .009  |
|                       | Sixth            | fourth<br>grade  | .128                        | .110          | .712  |
|                       | grade            | fifth grade      | 142                         | .110          | .644  |
|                       | -                | Seventh grade    | .240                        | .110          | .193  |
|                       | Seventh          | fourth<br>grade  | 112                         | .110          | .792  |
|                       | grade            | fifth grade      | 382*                        | .110          | .009  |
|                       |                  | Sixth<br>grade   | 240                         | .110          | .193  |

The data shows that there were statistically significant differences ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ) between the fifth grade on the one hand and the fourth, sixth and seventh grades on the other hand, and the differences came in favor of the fifth grade in physiological symptoms. Also, there were statistically significant differences ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ) between the seventh and sixth grades, and the differences were in favor of the sixth grade in Cognitive symptoms. In addition, there were statistically significant differences ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ) between the seventh and fifth grades, and the differences were in favor of the sixth grade in Cognitive symptoms. In addition, there were statistically significant differences ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ) between the seventh and fifth grades, and the differences were in favor of the fifth grade in the Total Score.

# DISCUSSING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The results showed that emotional and cognitive symptoms were high, and this may be due to the fact that students with learning difficulties suffer from fear, tension, psychological stress, attention deficit and lack of concentration memory, and this came as a result of the learning difficulties they suffer from, and that these symptoms accompany and distinguish them due to their suffering and their learning disabilities.

Fifth-grade students with learning disabilities had higher physiological symptoms and this may be due to the developmental changes they are going through. Also, maybe this refer to the fact that the learning problems that have affected their school performance were becoming more aware and they feel that they have challenges that prevent them from participating in school assignments like their classmates. Therefore, they appear to have sleep problems, insomnia and tension. While the sixth grade, the cognitive symptoms were higher, and this may be due to the awareness about their problem and suffering, and perhaps also because learning difficulties have become more affecting the performance of academic tasks, which distracted them and increased their thinking about their problem. Furthermore, may this due to their awareness that they were less able to complete tasks and compete with their peers.

Based on the results, the researcher recommends:

• Determining the levels of social anxiety among students with learning difficulties and studying the variation and extent of symptoms.

• Develop remedial programs to enable students with learning difficulties to overcome their psychological problems, including anxiety.

• The current study focused on studying social anxiety among students with learning difficulties in Jordan, and it is also important to study their levels of depression.

#### REFERENCES

Ankrom, S. (2021). *Do you have normal anxiety or a disorder?* 

- Cowden, P. (2010). Social anxiety in children with disabilities. *Journal of Instructional Psychology*, 37(4), 301-305.
- Devine, A., Hill, F., Carey, E., & Szucs, D. (2018). Cognitive and emotional math problems largely dissociate: Prevalence of developmental dyscalculia and mathematics anxiety. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 110(3), 431-444.
- Healthline. (2021). *Social anxiety disorder*. Healthline Media a Red Ventures Company.
- Integra. (2009). A handbook on learning disabilities. *Walk a Mile in My Shoes*. Ontario.
- Mammarella, I., Ghisi, M., Bomba, M., Bottesi, G., Caviola, S., Broggi, F., & Nacinovich, R. (2016). Anxiety and depression

in children with nonverbal learning disabilities, reading disabilities, or typical development. *Journal of Learning Disabilities, 49*(2), 130-139.

- Marwood, H., & Hewitt, O. (2013). Evaluating an anxiety group for people with learning disabilities using a mixed methodology. *British Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 41(2), 150-158.
- Mattick, R. P., & Clarke, J. C. (1998). Development and validation of measures of social phobia scrutiny fear and social interaction anxiety1. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 36(4), 455-470.
- naset.org. (2021). Specific learning disabilities: Overview. https://www.naset.org/fileadmin/user\_upload/Powerpoint/ Specific\_Learning\_Disabilities.ppt
- Nunes, C., Ayala-Nunesb, L., Pechorroc, P., & Greca, A. (2018). Short form of the social anxiety scale for adolescents among community and institutionalized portuguese youths. *International Journal of Clinical and Health Psychology*, 18(3). DOI: 10.1016/j.ijchp.2018.06.001
- Wang, L., Li, X., & Chung, K. (2021). Relationships between test anxiety and metacognition in Chinese young adults with and without specific learning disabilities. *Annals of Dyslexia*, 71(1), 103-126.